AIChE/DIPPR® Project ESP

Environmental and Safety Properties Policy and Procedures Guidelines

June 2008

Rogers, T.N.; Zei, D.A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 5 |
|--|----|
| 1.1 PROJECT APPROACH | 5 |
| 2.0 PROJECT ESP PARAMETERS | 6 |
| 2.1 LIST OF PARAMETERS | |
| 2.2 DEFINITIONS OF PARAMETERS | 6 |
| 2.2.1 BLOCK 1 OXYGEN DEMAND | 6 |
| 2.2.2 BLOCK 2 PARTITIONING PARAMETERS | 7 |
| 2.2.3 BLOCK 3 GENERAL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES | 8 |
| 2.2.4 BLOCK 4 VAPOR-LIQUID EQUILIBRIUM | 10 |
| 2.2.5 BLOCK 5 FIRE AND EXPLOSION PARAMETERS | 11 |
| 2.2.6 BLOCK 6 SENSORY, HEALTH AND TOXICITY IMPACTS | 11 |
| 2.2.7 UNITS | 12 |
| 3.0 DESCRIPTION OF CHEMICAL LIST | 13 |
| 4.0 LITERATURE SEARCH | 13 |
| 4.1 GENERAL APPROACH | 13 |
| 4.2 PROJECT 801 RELEASE | 14 |
| 4.3 PRINCIPAL LITERATURE SEARCH | 14 |
| 4.3.1 BLOCKS 1 AND 2 OXYGEN DEMAND AND SOIL/WATER PARTITIONING | 14 |
| 4.3.2 BLOCK 3 GENERAL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES | 16 |
| 4.3.3 BLOCK 4 VAPOR-LIQUID EQUILIBRIUM | 16 |
| 4.3.4 BLOCK 5 FIRE AND EXPLOSIONS. | 17 |
| 4.3.5 BLOCK 6 SENSORY, HEALTH & TOXICITY IMPACTS | 17 |
| 5.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA | 17 |
| 5.1 QUALITY ASSURANCE | 17 |
| 5.2 QUALITATIVE REVIEW | 18 |

| 5.3 QUANTITATIVE REVIEWS | 19 |
|--|---------------------|
| 5.3.1 STATISTICAL QUALITY CONTROL (SQC) | 19 |
| 5.3.2 CLASSIFICATION OF ERRORS USING SQC | 20 |
| 6.0 DATA TRACKING AND MANAGEMENT | 21 |
| 6.1 GENERAL OVERVIEW | 21 |
| 6.2 DATAFILES DESCRIPTION | 21 |
| 6.3 DATA ENTRY SYSTEM | 22 |
| 7.0 TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT PROPERTIES | 22 |
| 8.0 ESTIMATION METHODS | 23 |
| 8.1 BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND | 23 |
| 8.2 CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND | 24 |
| 8.3 THEORETICAL OXYGEN DEMAND | 24 |
| 8.4 PARTITIONING/EQUILIBRIUM PARAMETERS | 24 |
| 8.4.1 SOLUBILITY OF A CHEMICAL IN WATER | 25 |
| 8.4.2 SOLUBILITY OF WATER IN A CHEMICAL | 25 |
| 8.4.3 1-OCTANOL/WATER PARTITION COEFFICIENT (K _{OW}) | 25 |
| 8.4.4 SOIL/WATER PARTITIONING | 26 |
| 8.4.5 BIOCONCENTRATION FACTOR | 26 |
| 8.4.6 ACTIVITY COEFFICIENTS | 26 |
| 8.4.7 HENRY'S LAW CONSTANT | 26 |
| 9.0 DATABASE SOFTWARE | 26 |
| 9.1 DESCRIPTION | 26 |
| 9.2 SOFTWARE TABLES | 27 |
| TABLE 1: PROPERTY LIST | 28 |
| TABLE 2: DEFAULT UNITS AND MATHEMATICAL EXPRESSIONS TO CONVERT TO UNIT | OPTIONS <u>.</u> 31 |
| TABLE 3: CHEMICAL LIST | 35 |
| TARIE A. DRINGTRAL LITER ATTIRE COLIRCES | 50 |

| TABLE 5: BOD RATING CRITERIA | 66 |
|---|------------|
| TABLE 6: EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SOIL/WATER PARTITION COEFFICIENT | 67 |
| TABLE 7: SOME RELIABLE REFERENCES AND CRITERIA FOR SOIL/WATER PARTITIONING | 68 |
| TABLE 8: CRITERIA FOR FLAGGING DATA ENTRY ERRORS AND SIGNIFICANT OUTLIERS | 69 |
| TABLE 9: EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR BLOCK 3 | 70 |
| TABLE 10: EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR BLOCK 6 | 70 |
| TABLE 11: TRANSLATION OF DIPPR® 801 EVALUATION CODES TO DIPPR® ESP EVALUATION | |
| CODES | 71 |
| TABLE 12: RESULTS OF SQC REVIEW | 72 |
| TABLE 13: STRUCTURE FOR VALUES TABLE | 73 |
| TABLE 14: STRUCTURE OF CITATION TABLE | 74 |
| TABLE 15: STRUCTURE OF PROPERTY TABLE | 74 |
| TABLE 16: STRUCTURE OF CHEMICAL TABLE | 75 |
| TABLE 17: STRUCTURE OF CONVUNIT TABLE | 75 |
| TABLE 18: PROJECT 912 ESTIMATION METHODS | 76 |
| TABLE 19: FAMILY GROUPING CODES | 77 |
| FIGURE 1: DIPPR® ESP TRACKING FORM | 78 |
| FIGURE 2: CITATION FORMATS | 79 |
| FIGURE 3: DATA ENTRY FORM | 80 |
| FIGURE 4. ACUTE ACIDATIC TOXICITY FNTRY FORMAT | Q 1 |

Policy and Procedures Guideline

1.0 Introduction

Design Institute for Physical Property Data (DIPPR)[®] Project ESP entitled Environmental and Safety Properties is responding to a need for a critically evaluated, internally consistent, documented and computerized database covering the title properties for a select list of pure chemicals with known hazards. The project is anticipated to satisfy industrial needs for more accurate and complete property data in order to respond effectively to regulatory requirements. The project scope was developed around the 275 chemicals in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA) and the Occupational Safety and Health (OSHA) Act of 1970, Federal Register No. 1910.119 with six blocks of properties covering specific areas of interest. In 1994, the 84 chemicals of the Environmental Protection Agency's Risk Management Program (EPA-RMP) were added to the project chemical list. The updates to the chemical list from 1994 to the present have included sponsor-selected chemicals.

Michigan Technological University was chosen as the prime contractor to develop the Project ESP database with assistance from software and database consultants. This document describes the policy and guidelines which Project ESP staff have used to develop the Environmental and Safety Properties. Each section describes in detail the methods, assumptions, and procedures used by Project ESP staff to complete the tasks set forth.

1.1 Project Approach

The team assembled by Michigan Technological University consists of chemical and environmental engineers, chemists, biologists, and software and database consultants. This team has set the following objectives:

- 1. Develop a data compilation for each property and chemical identified by the AIChE/DIPPR® Technical Steering Committee. This compilation would include the values and units for each of the properties and for each of the chemicals as well as the quality rating, literature citation, appropriate comments, and experimental conditions where available.
- 2. Provide a comprehensive search of all available, reliable data resources both domestic and foreign. These sources include standard library literature as well as industrial reports, commercially available computerized databases, government reports, and Project 801 data.
- 3. Evaluate each piece of data on the basis of consistency with other properties of that chemical, fundamental thermodynamics, statistical correlations, and the documentable quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) behind each study.
- 4. Develop a DOS software product incorporating features such as CAS number indexing, literature citations, quality codes, temperature dependent graphing capabilities, and built-in conversion of units. The final DOS software product (sponsor version 6.0) was released to the Project ESP Steering Committee in July 1998. Future public dissemination of Project ESP data in electronic form will be done through a third party vendor, EPCON International (www.epcon.com). In 1998, the steering committee granted EPCON the rights to license the ESP database to third party users. Sponsor companies continue to receive the Project ESP data files from MTU prior to public release.
- 5. In a companion project, Project 912, MTU evaluated existing and developed new estimation methods for many of the properties in Project ESP. These Project 912 methods are used to estimate data not available in the literature and to test available data for consistency.

In order to efficiently manage and track the number of individual pieces of data as well as the amount of data source material, the Project ESP team chose to develop, with the assistance of software and database consultants, a computerized data management and tracking system. A detailed discussion of each of the components including

properties and chemicals of interest, literature searches, quality screening methods, data tracking system, and demonstration software in the Project ESP effort is provided in separate sections below.

2.0 Project ESP Parameters

2.1 List of Parameters

The Technical Steering Committee has identified six blocks of parameters or properties to be included in the Project ESP effort. These are:

| Block Number | Block Title |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Oxygen Demand |
| 2 | Partitioning Parameters |
| 3 | General Physical Properties |
| 4 | Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium |
| 5 | Fire and Explosion Parameters |
| 6 | Sensory, Health and Toxicity Impacts |

Each block contains three or more parameters. The properties requested by the Technical Steering Committee have been incorporated into the data management and tracking system. The list of property names and property codes as used by Project ESP and as established by the Technical Steering Committee can be found in Table 1. In order to incorporate this list in the data management and tracking system, the list was revised slightly from the original layout. In some cases additional properties have been added to provide unique descriptors of each property such as for Flammability Limits. It was also necessary to shorten some names to provide more room on the final software product screens.

2.2 Definitions of Parameters

The following is a listing of the definitions of each of the properties as used in Project ESP. A discussion of the units used for each definition is contained in Section 2.2.7.

2.2.1 Block 1 Oxygen Demand

- 1a. Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) is a measure of the oxygen consumed by microbial life while assimilating and oxidizing the organic matter present in a wastewater. The standard BOD tests are typically run for 5 days at 20° C in the dark.
- 1b. Chemical oxygen demand (COD) is another method of obtaining the equivalent of oxygen demand of the organic matter by oxidizing the sample using a strong chemical oxidant such as potassium dichromate or permanganate. Since COD tests are very severe and interference from chloride ions can be a problem if not accounted for during testing, it should be noted that COD is not a true measure of biodegradable organic carbon. Two property codes have been created for COD to reflect the two most commonly used test procedures. The property code for COD using potassium dichromate as the oxidant is 1b, and the property code for COD using potassium permanganate as the oxidant is 1bp.
- lc. Theoretical Oxygen Demand (ThOD) is estimated for specific organic compounds based on the stoichiometry of a balanced chemical reaction which describes the reaction of the organic chemical with oxygen to produce theoretical inorganic end products. The following guidelines were used to determine the reaction's

inorganic end products. Organic chemicals which contain only carbon and hydrogen were assumed to be converted to CO₂ and H₂O; organic chemicals which contain carbon, hydrogen, and sulfur were assumed to be converted to CO₂, H₂O, and SO₄²⁻; organic chemicals which contain carbon, hydrogen, and phosphorus were assumed to be converted to CO₂, H₂O and orthophosphate forms (i.e., H₂PO₄ or HPO₄²⁻); organic chemicals which contain carbon, hydrogen, and halogens (e.g., chlorine, bromine) were assumed to be converted to CO₂, H₂O and the respective halogen ion (e.g., Cl⁻, Br⁻); and, organic chemicals which contain carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen were assumed to be converted to CO₂, H₂O, and ammonia. In the later case the oxygen demand associated with nitrogenous theoretical oxygen demand was found by showing the stoichiometric amount of oxygen required to oxidize the ammonia to nitrate.

An exception to these rules is chemicals with nitro (NO₂) or nitroso (NO) groups. The nitrogen in a nitro or nitroso group will be converted to ammonia under anaerobic, reducing conditions. Under aerobic conditions the nitro or nitroso group will go directly to nitrite and subsequently to nitrate. In order to calculate the carbonaceous ThOD for chemicals with nitro or nitroso groups, the stoichiometry was drawn out by hand assuming nitro or nitroso groups go to nitrate and all other nitrogen goes to ammonia. For combined carbonaceous and nitrogenous oxygen demand all the nitrogen was assumed to go to nitrate.

Both ThOD based on oxidation of C and H (carbonaceous) and ThOD based on oxidation of all elements (including N) to their highest oxidation state in the environment (combined) are provided for the Project ESP chemicals. The property code for carbonaceous ThOD is *1cc*, and the property code for combined carbonaceous and nitrogenous ThOD is *1cn*.

2.2.2 Block 2 Partitioning Parameters

- 2a. 1-Octanol/water partition coefficient (K_{ow}) is defined as the ratio of the equilibrium concentrations of a dissolved chemical in a 1-octanol-rich phase and a water-rich phase. The 1-octanol and water phases are nearly immiscible. It is dimensionless and is expressed as the coefficient (K_{ow}) or its base 10 logarithm (log K_{ow}). All partitioning parameters are measured at 298.15 K unless stated otherwise.
- 2b. Soil/water partition coefficients relate the concentration of an organic solute associated with the aqueous and solid phases at equilibrium. It is defined by the relationship $S = K_p C^{1/n}$ where S is the sorbed chemical concentration, K_p is the soil/water partition coefficient, C is the aqueous concentration and n is the Freundlich exponent. If the aqueous concentration of the pollutant is less than 10^{-5} moles/mole solution or one half its aqueous solubility (whichever is lower), the Freundlich isotherm has been found to be linear (i.e. n=1). Because K_p 's can vary dramatically for different soil types and are typically developed from knowledge of a chemical's K_{oc} ($K_{oc} = K_p/OC$, where K_{oc} is the soil/water partition normalized for organic carbon on a unit basis and OC is the fraction of organic carbon in the soil) and the fraction of organic carbon in a soil, Project ESP has not incorporated K_p data into the data management system. In the software, the equation $K_p = K_{oc} \times (\% OC/100)$ will be displayed if no comment is available. The user can then use the percentage of organic carbon in their soil sample to calculate the soil/water partition coefficient.
- 2c. Organic carbon/water partition coefficients are used in many environmental situations where the amount of organic carbon present in the sorbent dominates sorption (organic carbon >0.1%). Thus, partition coefficients are normalized with respect to organic carbon or organic matter content. Although the value given in the Project ESP is the log of the coefficient, units of cm³/g OC are assigned to the value to indicate the antilog units applied to the value.
- 2d. Bioconcentration factor (BCF) is defined as concentration of the chemical in the organism at equilibrium divided by the concentration of the chemical in water. This parameter is dimensionless and can be determined experimentally by dosing water containing the organism and dividing the concentration in the organism by the concentration in water once equilibrium is reached. If equilibration is slow, the rate of uptake and loss can be used to calculate the bioconcentration factor at equilibrium. The BCFs are collected for freshwater fish species. BCF values in the Project ESP database may not necessarily be at 25°C, as BCF tests are conducted at temperatures that are dependent on the species. For example, experiments using a cold-water species such as trout are usually conducted at 16°C, as most trout would die during a BCF test at a higher temperature. Temperatures for specific BCF data values are found as part of the reference information in the Project ESP database.

2.2.3 Block 3 General Physical Properties

Unless otherwise noted, properties of liquids below the normal boiling point are at 1 atm, and those above the normal boiling point are at saturation conditions; solid and vapor properties are at 1 atm or low pressure. For property values, quality codes, indicating source and accuracy, and references are included. For temperature dependent properties, discrete data at or near 25°C (±5°C) is collected. The temperature associated with the discrete data is also included. In addition to the discrete data, temperature dependent correlations have also been developed, concentrating on the temperature range of environmental interest, 250 to 500 K. For these properties, equation-fit statistics and the upper and lower temperature limits of applicability and values computed at these limits are included. Temperature dependent data are calculated from a temperature dependent correlation and displayed at the default temperature of 25°C (298.15 K) or can be viewed in a graphical form in the Project ESP software. See Table 2 for units and unit conversions.

3a. Molecular Weight is defined as the sum of the atomic weights of all the atoms in the molecule based on the IUPAC list of 1993 (*J. Phys. Chem Ref. Data* 24, 1561 (1995)). This is expressed in units of kg/kmol. The molecular weight data is used as a means to cross check the accuracy of articles using SQC. The highest rated molecular weight values in the Project ESP datafiles will be the IUPAC calculation.

3b and 3bt. Liquid Density (p) is the ratio of the mass of a substance to its volume. Density can also be determined by dividing the molecular weight by the molar volume or by correcting for the density of water where molar volume or specific gravity are given in the source material.

Specific gravity is frequently given in data collections and is written in the form displayed in Equations (1) and (2).

$$\frac{\text{Density of liquid at t}_1}{\text{Density of water at t}_2} = \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_w}$$
 Eqn 1

In equation 2, the superscript number is the temperature of the liquid, and the subscript denotes the temperature of the water. The density of water is 1.00000 g/mL at 277.15 K. In Project ESP specific gravities are converted to densities by multiplying the density of water and the specific gravity. Data are presented at or near 25°C (3b) or calculated from a temperature dependent correlation (3bt) and expressed in units of kg/m³. If the substance is a solid at 298.15 K, the density may be given with a note that it is for the solid.

3c. Solubility in Water (s_a) is defined as the relative mass of the chemical dissolved in aqueous solution which is in equilibrium with an excess of the substance. The dissolved chemical may be a solid, liquid, or gas. For any binary solute-solvent system which has limited miscibility, there is a corresponding solubility limit of the solvent in the solute. These two endpoints of the equilibrium "tie-line" are related through equal-fugacity expressions at a given temperature and pressure and are not independent. Under Project ESP, we are attempting to tabulate (or estimate) both chemical-in-water and water-in-chemical solubilities. The water-in-chemical solubilities, if given, are recorded in the comments (literature section) of the chemical-in-water solubility. Values listed are at 298.15 K unless noted otherwise and in units of ppm(wt). When the literature reports a chemical as "infinitely soluble", a value of 1,000,000 ppm (wt) has been placed in the Project ESP database in the corresponding "value" and "unit" fields.

For environmental purposes (temperature range of 20° to 30°C), solubilities of gases in water in the Project ESP database are assumed to be at 1 atm total pressure, unless otherwise noted in the reference information or comments. For applications outside an environmental range, the partial pressure of the chemical must be considered.

3d. Melting Point (T_{MP}) is defined as the temperature at which both liquid and solid exist in equilibrium at a pressure of 1 atmosphere. These are presented in units of K.

3e. Normal Boiling Point (T_{BP}) is defined as the temperature at which the liquid exerts an equilibrium vapor pressure of 1 atm. These are presented in units of K.

3f and 3g. The Vapor Pressure (P_{vp}) of a pure chemical is the pressure which the chemical will exert at a given temperature; i.e., it is the pressure of the vapor above the chemical at equilibrium. In a closed vessel, a chemical is in a dynamic state of equilibrium in which the molecules of the chemical are constantly shifting between chemical and vapor states. The presence of this vapor creates a pressure termed the vapor pressure. Vapor pressure is temperature dependent and is presented in Project ESP at or near 25°C (temperature stated if not 298.15 K) (3f) or calculated from a temperature dependent correlation (3g). Both 3f and 3g are presented in units of Pa.

3h and 3i. Molecular Diffusivity (DAB) is a measure of the mass transfer through a homogeneous gas,

liquid, or solid phase as defined by Fick's Law: $N = DAB \frac{dCA}{dx}$, where N is the molar flux and $\frac{dCA}{dx}$ is the concentration or potential gradient for species A in a phase B. This mass transfer results from gradients in chemical potential and the diffusion coefficient relates the potential difference (usually represented by concentration or partial pressure) to the rate of diffusion. Diffusivity values are presented in Project ESP in air (3h) and in water (3i) at or near 25°C unless otherwise noted and in cm²/s.

3j. Vapor Viscosity (η_V) is analogous to the viscosity of a liquid. If atoms in a fast moving layer travel into a slower moving layer, they transfer their excess momentum to the atoms in the slow moving layer. If the opposite occurs, the atoms from the slow layer retard the motion of the faster layer. The rate at which these atom transfers occur is proportional to the vapor density and to the average velocity gradient. Data are calculated from a temperature dependent empirical correlation in Pa·s or can be viewed in a graphical form in the Project ESP software product. The viscosity used here is the dynamic viscosity.

3k. Liquid Viscosity (η_L) is a measure of the resistance of a fluid to dynamic change. When a force is applied to a fluid, it begins to flow at a velocity which is proportional to the force. This flow is not uniform because of the fluid's resistance to motion. Data are calculated from a temperature dependent empirical correlation in Pa·s. The viscosity used here is the dynamic viscosity.

3l and 3lt. Surface Tension (σ) is a contracting force parallel to a liquid surface which forms a boundary between the liquid and gas phases or liquid/liquid interface. In mathematical terms it is the force per unit length required to create a new unit area of the gas-liquid interface. The surface tension cited is the interfacial tension between the pure component and air at or near 298.15 K. Data in Project ESP are presented at or near 25°C (temperature stated if not 298.15 K; 3l) and calculated from a temperature dependent correlation (3lt) in N/m.

3m. Thermal Conductivity (λ_l and λ_v) is defined as the time rate of heat transfer by conduction through unit thickness, across unit area for a unit difference in temperature. It is typically measured as Watts per meter times K (W/m·K). Thermal conductivity is calculated in Project ESP for the liquid (λ_l) (3ml) and vapor (λ_v) (3mv) from a temperature dependent property. Information for solids is also included when available.

3n. Standard Enthalpy of Formation ($\Delta H^0_{f,298,15}$), also known as the Ideal Gas Heat of Formation, is defined as the change in enthalpy associated with the reaction of forming one mole of the given compound in the ideal gas state from the elements in their standard state at 298.15 K. The standard state of the element is the form which is the most stable at 298.15 K. Enthalpy of formation is given in joules per kilomole (J/kmol). When ideal gas values are not available for inorganic compounds solid at 298.15 K, the values for the solid are given in the comments. If both the ideal gas and the solid values are available, the solid value is placed in the comments.

If values are not located in the literature, they are calculated by the method of Rhodes (1984). This method uses Benson (1976) groups, by the ASTM's CHETAH (1974) program which uses known heat of formation values for designated compounds as well as Benson groups, or from Benson groups available in Danner and Daubert (1987).

- 30. Liquid Heat Capacity (C_p) is the energy required to change the temperature of a liquid by a specified thermal quantity. It can be defined in at least three ways: heat capacity at constant pressure, heat capacity at constant volume, and saturated liquid heat capacity. Unless otherwise stated in the comments, the Project ESP values cited are for heat capacity at constant pressure. Data are calculated from a temperature dependent correlation and presented in $J/kmol\cdot K$. Information for solids may be included when it is available.
- 3p. Vapor Heat Capacity (C_p) , also known as the Ideal Gas Heat Capacity, is the energy required to change the temperature of a vapor by specified thermal quantity. It can be defined in at least four ways: heat capacity at constant pressure, heat capacity at constant volume, saturated vapor heat capacity, and ideal gas heat capacity. Unless otherwise stated in the comments, the Project ESP values cited are for ideal gas heat capacity. Data are calculated from a temperature dependent correlation and presented in J/kmol/K.
- 3q. Critical Temperature (T_c) is the highest temperature at which a pure substance can exist in vapor-liquid equilibrium. This critical point has the coordinates critical pressure (P_c) and critical temperature (T_c) . The critical temperature is where density of the liquid equals the density of the saturated vapor and the heat of vaporization becomes zero. The pressure at this temperature is the critical pressure. Critical temperature is presented in units of K.
- 3r. Critical Pressure (P_c) is the pressure at the highest temperature at which a pure substance can exist in vapor-liquid equilibrium. This critical point has the coordinates critical pressure (P_c) and critical temperature (T_c). Critical pressure is presented in P_a .
 - 3s. Critical Volume (V_c) is the molar volume at the critical point and is presented in m³/kmol.
- 3t, 3tt, and 3tz. The Latent enthalpy of Vaporization (ΔH_{vb}) is defined as the enthalpy required in the phase change from a liquid to a gas. This value is usually obtained for the normal boiling point. The nomenclature for latent enthalpy of vaporization at the normal boiling point is ΔH_{vb} . Data are collected and presented for three forms: ΔH_{vb} at or near 298.15 K (3t), calculated from a temperature dependent correlation (3tt), and at the normal boiling point for that chemical (3tz) all in J/kmol.

2.2.4 Block 4 Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium

4a and 4b. Activity Coefficients (γ) are a measure of the nonideal behavior of a chemical mixture relative to ideal behavior (Lewis-Randall or Henry's law) equilibrium calculations. The Lewis-Randall standard state for a chemical is commonly chosen to be the pure liquid at the system temperature and pressure where the activity coefficient has a value of one. If $\gamma > 1.0$, there are said to be positive deviations from ideal behavior in which the molecules of the different components in solution are dissimilar and have no preferential interaction between the species. If $\gamma < 1$, there are negative deviations from ideal behavior in which the molecules of the different components exhibit preferential attractive forces (such as hydrogen bonding, etc.) that do not occur for either species alone. In aqueous systems activity coefficients for hydrophobic chemicals are often expressed as infinite dilution activity coefficients γ_i^{∞} based on the Lewis-Randall standard state. The Project ESP group is compiling activity coefficients at infinite dilution of the chemical in water (4a) and of water in the chemical (4b). Values are for 298.15 K unless stated otherwise and are dimensionless.

4c. Aqueous Henry's Law Constant (H) is defined as the ratio of a chemical's concentration in the gas phase to its concentration in the water phase at equilibrium, and is often called an air/water partitioning coefficient. This form of Henry's Law constant is dimensionless [mole of chemical (gas phase)/mole of chemical (liquid phase)]. Two other forms of Henry's constant with units also exist: one relates gas-phase partial pressure to liquid-phase molar concentration (units of Pa·m³/mol), and the other relates gas-phase partial pressure to liquid-phase mole fraction (units of Pa). This last form of Henry's constant, by definition, is the product of the Lewis-Randall infinite dilution activity coefficient and the pure component vapor pressure. The temperature is 298.15 K unless stated otherwise. Henry's constants are presented in units of kPa·mol/mol.

2.2.5 Block 5 Fire and Explosion Parameters

5a. Flammability Limits in Air are defined as the boundary line mixtures of gas with air, which if ignited will just propagate flame. They are presented in terms of percentage by volume of gas in the air. Both the upper (5au) and lower (5al) flammability limits are presented in Project ESP in units of volume% in air.

- 5b. The Flash Point is the lowest temperature (presented here in Kelvin) at which a liquid gives off sufficient vapor to form an ignitable mixture with air near the surface of the liquid or within the vessel used. The preferred experimental method is closed cup. In Project ESP, the experimental method is noted with the value where it has been explicitly given. The value for closed cup is in the "values' field. Any value for open cup will be in the "comments" field. Sataflash is a closed cup method and Cleveland is an open cup method.
- 5c. Autoignition Temperature is the minimum temperature for a substance to initiate self-combustion in air in the absence of a spark or flame.
- 5d. Standard net enthalpy (heat) of combustion The standard net enthalpy of combustion is the increase in enthalpy when a substance in its standard state at 298.15 K and 101325 Pa undergoes oxidation to products obtained in complete combustion. Unless otherwise stated the value is for the combustion of the gaseous substance to produce $H_2O(g)$, $CO_2(g)$, $F_2(g)$, $CI_2(g)$, $F_2(g)$, $F_$

For compounds containing C, H, and O if a gross heat of combustion (also known as the Higher Heating Value (HHV)), (H₂O(liquid)) value is available, the net value is calculated by adding the enthalpy of vaporization for the appropriate amount of water. Again, the negative sign convention for heats of combustion is used here. The user is cautioned that the absolute value of the net heat of combustion should always be less than the absolute value of the gross heat of combustion, by an amount equal to the enthalpy of vaporization of the appropriate amount of water, as stated earlier. In addition, the enthalpy of combustion is calculated from the accepted enthalpies of formation (in the ideal gas state) to check for consistency with the enthalpy of combustion obtained from the gross enthalpy of combustion. This calculation involves subtracting the enthalpy of vaporization of the compound at 298.15 K, so that the enthalpy of formation is for the standard state. The enthalpy of vaporization value used is that calculated from the regression equation. The agreement is usually excellent; if it is not, the enthalpy of combustion calculated from the enthalpies of formation is accepted. For some compounds, no experimental values are available but a value for enthalpy of combustion can be predicted by Benson's method and the CHETAH program. These values are entered based on the ideal gas state and are so noted.

To convert net enthalpy of combustion values for any compound to gross enthalpy of combustion values where the end products are HCl(g), HF(g), $P_4O_{10}(g)$, and $H_2O(liquid)$, rather than $Cl_2(g)$, $F_2(g)$, $H_3PO_4(s)$, and $H_2O(g)$, respectively, use the following for H(a), Cl(b), F(c), P(d):

$$\begin{split} \Delta H_{c}(gross) &= \Delta H_{c}(net) + (b)\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}(HCl)(g) - \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}(Cl_{2})(g) + (c)\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}(HF)(g) - \left(\frac{c}{2}\right)\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}(F_{2})(g) + \\ &\left(\frac{d}{4}\right)\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}(P_{4}O_{10})(g) - (d)\Delta H_{f}(H_{3}PO_{4})(s) + (a-b-c)/2\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}(H_{2}O)(liquid) - (a-3d)/2\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}(H_{2}O)(g) \end{split}$$
 Eqn 3

2.2.6 Block 6 Sensory, Health and Toxicity Impacts

6a-6i. Acute Aquatic Toxicity is defined as the potential a chemical has to cause adverse effects in an aquatic organism over four or fewer days. The end-points used in Block 6a-i, Acute Aquatic Toxicity, are:

LC50: the median lethal concentration of material which results in mortality of 50% of test organisms;

EC50: the median effective concentration of material which results in a sublethal response, such as a behavioral change, in 50% of test organisms.

Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow), Daphnia magna (water flea), Salmonidae (trout and salmon family) Mysidacea (opossum shrimp order), two toxicity endpoints (EC50, LC50), and three exposure times (24, 48, 96 hours) are incorporated under this block. Initially, the literature was searched for each combination of organism, endpoint, and time. However, it became apparent that few data were available for some of these combinations, and a number of property codes were deleted as indicated by an asterisk (*) below:

| Property | | | Test |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Code | <u>Name</u> | Endpoint | Duration |
| баь | fathead minnow | EC50 | 48 hours |
| 6ac | fathead minnow | EC50 | 96 hours |
| 6ba | fathead minnow | LC50 | 24 hours |
| 6bb | fathead minnow | LC50 | 48 hours |
| 6bc | fathead minnow | LC50 | 96 hours |
| 6ca | Daphnia magna | EC50 | 24 hours |
| 6cb | Daphnia magna | EC50 | 48 hours |
| *6сс | Daphnia magna | EC50 | 96 hours |
| 6da | Daphnia magna | LC50 | 24 hours |
| 6db | Daphnia magna | LC50 | 48 hours |
| *6dc | Daphnia magna | LC50 | 96 hours |
| *6ea | Salmonidae | EC50 | 24 hours |
| *6eb | Salmonidae | EC50 | 48 hours |
| *бес | Salmonidae | EC50 | 96 hours |
| 6fa | Salmonidae | LC50 | 24 hours |
| 6fb | Salmonidae | LC50 | 48 hours |
| 6fc | Salmonidae | LC50 | 96 hours |
| *6ga | Mysidacea | EC50 | 24 hours |
| *6gb | Mysidacea | EC50 | 48 hours |
| *6gc | Mysidacea | EC50 | 96 hours |
| *6ha | Mysidacea | LC50 | 24 hours |
| *6hb | Mysidacea | LC50 | 48 hours |
| 6hc | Mysidacea | LC50 | 96 hours |
| 6i | Other (Those pro | perty numbers desig | nated with |
| | an asterisk (*) are group | ped into this general | property code) |

Bioconcentration factors are collected for the following species: fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas; common water flea, Daphnia magna; Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar; brown trout, Salmo trutta; lake trout, Salmo trutta lacustris; arctic char, Salvelinus alpinus; brook trout, Salvelinus fontinalis; white spotted char, Salvelinus leucomaenis; Dolly Varden, Salvelinus malma; lake trout, siscowet, Salvelinus namaycush; golden trout, Oncorhynchus aguabonita; cutthroat trout, Oncorhynchus clarki; gila trout, Oncorhynchus gilae; pink salmon, Oncorhynchus gorbuscha; chum salmon, Oncorhynchus keta; coho salmon, silver salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch; cherry salmon, yamame trout, Oncorhynchus masou; rainbow trout, Donaldson trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss; sockeye salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka; chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha; and the opossum shrimp order Mysidacea, Bowmaniella dissimilis, Leptomysis mediterranea, Mysidopsis bahia, Mysidopsis bigelowi, Mysidopsis almyra, Mysis relicta, Neomysis americana, Neomysis awatschensis, Neomysis integer, Taphromysis louisianae.

2.2.7 Units

Data are entered into the Project ESP database in the original units from the data source material. In some cases the data are converted to simplify the conversion routine. For example, solubility units given in log mmol/L are converted with inverse log to get mmol/L. A unit conversion routine has been developed in which the data extracted for the Project ESP software product are converted into a default unit. The routine then gives options of SI or CGS units.

Table 2 provides a list of the default units for each property, selection of unit options and the mathematical expression to convert to them. The default unit is the unit to which all the values for that property have been converted and will be displayed on the software screen unless the user chooses a different unit from the list of unit options. In all cases where it is necessary to incorporate the density of water, it was assumed to be 1 g/mL.

The conversion routines are based on a temperature of 298.15 K. If there are values in the database at temperatures other than 298.15 K, the conversion is calculated by hand and entered into the database in the default units. The original value and units as stated in the literature source is entered into the comments section. This is used especially for Henry's constants (4c) and solubility.

This "hard-coding" is also employed for solubility (3c) values which exceed 10,000 ppm(wt). The automatic conversion routines and relationships for solubility are only applicable for values < 10,000 ppm(wt). Again, the original value and units are entered in the comments section of the database.

3.0 Description of Chemical List

The stated purpose of Project ESP data compilation effort is to focus on chemicals of environmental and safety concerns. Initially the chemicals listed in the CAAA were chosen by the Project ESP Technical Steering Committee as the focus. This list consists of 172 chemicals identified by the Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) number as well as an additional 17 chemicals, such as cadmium compounds, which can be listed with multiple CAS numbers. The 1992 effort focused on those with single CAS numbers although information has been collected on the other chemicals as well. In 1993, 109 chemicals from the OSHA list were added to the database. The 1994 additions included the EPA-RMP list and a list of sponsor-selected chemicals. The chemical list is currently at 1104 total chemicals with all additional chemicals not mentioned above sponsor-selected.

Several problems were discovered in regard to the CAAA list and have been discussed with EPA staff. First, several chemicals identified by specific CAS number are for salts, esters or mixtures of species such as 2,4-D, Aroclors, 4,6-dinitro-o-cresol, and dibenzofurans. The DIPPR® projects are interested in pure chemicals. Unique CAS numbers exist for the salts and esters or for the different dibenzofurans. Second, the CAS number given in the CAAA list for DDE is incorrect. The correct CAS number is used in Project ESP. Third, CAS number 121697 for N,N,-Diethylaniline in the CAAA list is actually for the N,N-Dimethylaniline. Project ESP has incorporated the CAS numbers for both chemicals until a decision from EPA is made regarding which chemical should be listed. The Project ESP list has also distinguished between the isomers of 1,3-Dichloropropene. There are three forms of phosphorus: white, yellow and red. Priority for entry into the database has been given in the following order: white, yellow, red. Data for yellow or red phosphorus are placed in the comments where appropriate.

In the OSHA list, incorrect CAS numbers were identified for Propyl Nitrate, Ammonium Permanganate, Oleum and Trichloro(dichlorophenyl)silane and the correct CAS numbers were incorporated into the database. Another change made to the OSHA list was that Project ESP distinguishes between the isomers of Ethyl Methyl Ketone Peroxide in the database. Additional chemical suggested by sponsors are also included in the database.

In order to conform to software constraints, each chemical was assigned an arbitrary number based on alphabetical order for the CAAA list and, starting with number 173, in numerical order based on CAS number for the OSHA list (Table 3). The number continues for the EPA-RMP list and the sponsor-selected chemicals.

4.0 Literature Search

4.1 General Approach

There are a number of sources of data which are incorporated into the Project ESP database. They include the following: 1) values from AIChE/DIPPR® Project 801 where Project 801 and Project ESP have common chemicals and parameters; 2) principal literature sources where data are readily available; 3) available literature compilations, commercially available electronic databases, and other electronic sources; and 4) international literature to obtain source

materials to fill the additional parameters and data gaps. The method followed for each of these steps is discussed below.

4.2 Project 801 Release

Communication among Brigham Young University, DIPPR Project 801, and MTU, DIPPR Project ESP, is ongoing in the exchange of new methods of prediction and new ideas. This allows each team to keep abreast of general progress of each of the projects. An example of this exchange has been in the prediction of Block 5 Fire and Explosion parameters. Project 801 has been utilizing the new prediction methods developed and utilized by Project ESP.

AIChE/DIPPR® Project 801 is a data compilation project for physical, thermodynamic, and transport property data. Some properties and chemicals are common to both Project 801 and Project ESP. In order to avoid duplicating efforts, Project ESP receives and extracts the Project 801 data release on a CD each year. In the past, the data were provided on a set of magnetic tapes which were then extracted on the MTU mainframe. The Project 801 data, references, supplementary coefficients, policies and procedures, and footnotes are contained in separate files on the CD.

To conduct the data extraction for the Project ESP chemical list, a series of queries using MS Access is used to pull the appropriate values with the associated references, units, quality codes, equation numbers, correlation coefficients, and footnotes, rearrange the field locations as necessary, and insert them into the Project ESP database. This effort was developed by MTU Staff. Only those values which were labeled as acceptable by Project 801 are extracted.

An update or extraction of Project 801 data is currently incorporated into the ESP database semiannually. The updates are performed by tagging the code system which Project 801 uses. For example, Project 801 labeled values entered during 8/1/91 to 1/31/92 with a L and so on alphabetically until the 2/1/96-7/31/96 which was marked with an X. Changes made to Project 801 data which have already been downloaded by Project ESP is designated with a C or & or @ which permits the extraction of all the updates of the Project 801 database. These updates and extractions have been occurring annually since August of 1991.

4.3 Principal Literature Search

Literature reviews, thorough searches of available journals, chemical supply catalogs, and published compilations are conducted at Michigan Technological University's Van Pelt Library. Source materials not available from the Van Pelt Library are requested by interlibrary loan. Certain source materials were found to be particularly productive sources of high quality data. These principal literature sources are given in Table 4 by block number and the journals are reviewed regularly for additional data. If data are not available from the principal sources a more detailed search is conducted.

4.3.1 Blocks 1 and 2 Oxygen Demand and Soil/Water Partitioning

A literature search was conducted in 1992, 1993, and 1995 primarily with a WilsonDisc CD-ROM information retrieval system developed by the H. W. Wilson Co. of Bronx, New York. This is an electronic data base system that contains approximately 800 journals from four major indexes: Readers Guide, Biological and Agricultural, Applied Science and Technology, and Business Periodicals. The majority of this search was spent in the Applied Science and Technology, and Biological and Agricultural indexes. The system at the MTU J. Robert Van Pelt Library only covers back to approximately 1983 for most references, though information was obtained from sources dating back as far as 1967. Any relevant titles and keywords were searched on this system by entering the words; partitioning, sorption, K_{oc} and distribution coefficient to name just a few. In addition, *Environ. Sci. Technol., Water Res., Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* and *J. Agric. Food Chem.* were individually searched back to 1965 because several articles on the subject were referenced to these journals. The 1995 search generated many more first-hand data sources for BOD dating back to 1932. These sources included Purdue waste conferences from 1946 to present, Sewage Works Journal, Sewage and Industrial Wastes Engineering, and several German sources. One difficulty with BOD values is the lack of measured values in the past two decades.

Another search that turned out to be more productive came from searching through glossaries of two books that had previously compiled data on partitioning. These books were K. Verschueren, *Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals* (1983) and the Mackay, et al., *Illustrated Handbook of Physical Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate for Organic Chemicals* (1992). An excellent source of experimental COD data was a German publication *Chemische Oxiderbarkeit organischer Wasserinhaltsstoffe* which contains nearly 600 values for COD by both dichromate and permanganate methods. A complete list of references is found in Table 4 (Blocks 1 & 2).

Oxygen demand data were compiled using two basic criteria: 1) only chemicals listed in the Technical Steering Committee list were considered; and 2) the study must have followed standard procedures in the obtaining of these data. For example, COD values were obtained for methods using either a dichromate oxidant in the presence of a silver sulfate catalyst for *1b* or permanganate oxidant for *1bp*. Experimental data were not found for all the chemicals. Estimates were then used to fill in gaps in the data base. This is described in more detail under Estimation Methods below.

Due to the nature of the Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) test, the rating criteria used differed from the system used for other DIPPR properties. A rating system specifically for BOD was created based on the conditions that critically affect the results of the BOD test. For convenience a copy of the rating form is provided in Table 5.

The experimental technique used is of utmost importance in the BOD test as the results tend to be highly variable. Those experiments not following the 1992, 18th Edition of Standard Methods for Examination of Wastewater and Water (APHA, AWWA, WEF) (Standard Methods) produce data that are not comparable to other BOD values. For this reason, those papers following Standard Methods were given a higher ranking than those not following Standard Methods.

Just as the experimental technique is important, so too is the temperature at which the experiment is conducted. Most biological processes are a function of temperature. To insure that data from different sources are comparable with respect to temperature, Standard Methods recommends performing the BOD test 20°C. In some instances temperature is intentionally manipulated for the purpose of the experiment. For example, an experiment may be designed to show the effect on BOD of seasonal changes in a waste water. In such cases the rating system does not penalize a paper for running the experiment at a temperature other than 20°C. A paper is only penalized if the temperature is not reported. The comment field will indicate the temperature of all experiments run at a temperature other than 20°C.

The state of seed acclimation also plays an important role in the BOD test. An organism that is not acclimated to a specific chemical may experience a lag phase during which the organism adapts itself to the compound of interest. The length of this lag phase is highly variable. If the lag phase is greater than the five days allowed for the test, the chemical may appear to be nonbiodegradable or perhaps less biodegradable than if an acclimated seed was used. An acclimated seed receives a higher rating than a nonacclimated seed in the rating criteria, which in turn receives a higher rating than those paper in which acclimation is not reported. Both the seed used and the state of acclimation will be reported in the comment field.

The initial chemical concentration can also affect the results of the BOD test. It is important that the concentration is not toxic to the seed organisms and that an adequate amount of dissolved oxygen is present in the test container to degrade the compound of interest. Standard Methods states that a dilution which results in a residual dissolved oxygen concentration of at least 1 mg/L and a dissolved oxygen uptake of at least 2 mg/L after five days incubation produces the most reliable results. Papers were evaluated on whether or not the initial chemical concentration was reported to indicate the author's thorough understanding of the BOD test.

Finally, the BOD of a chemical must be less than or equal to the chemical's theoretical oxygen demand. The comparison of the results of the BOD test to the chemical's theoretical oxygen demand was used to show internal consistency.

Based on the literature review conducted in 1992, 1993, and 1995 for soil/water partitioning, it appears that it will be very difficult to develop an extensive, good quality data base for most chemicals for a number of reasons. Three important reasons for this are: 1) many DIPPR chemicals are not strongly sorbed to soils/sediments; therefore, their

sorptive properties have not been investigated intensively; 2) there is no standard method for determining soil/water partition coefficients or units of reporting; thus, much of the data reviewed for this project were judged unacceptable; and, 3) a major problem not accounted for by many researchers when measuring soil water partition coefficients is that the target chemical can be lost by biological degradation, volatilization, or sorption onto surfaces other than the soil (e.g., onto glassware).

Many values were judged unacceptable because the reported units could not be normalized to one common standardized unit. Soil/water partition coefficients are typically normalized to some measurement of organic carbon. This allows application of a partition coefficient to most circumstances, as long as the amount of organic carbon is known. Unfortunately, researchers normalize the partition coefficient on either a basis of organic carbon (OC) or organic matter (OM). OM is the organic fraction of the soil and includes organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and sulfur. However, OC only accounts for the organic carbon fraction of soil. While, OM is typically related to OC by multiplying OC by 1.724, a number of studies suggest that the conversion factor may actually range from 1.9 to 2.5 (Nelson, D.W. and L.E. Sommers, *Total Carbon, Organic Carbon, and Organic Matter*, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, 1982). Thus, values of a soil/water partition coefficient which are reported as K_{om}, instead of K_{oc}, were not used unless the researcher reported a specific conversion ratio (OC/OM) for their test system.

Where possible, soil/water partition data were obtained according to the following conditions: 1) the isotherms were performed with several soils of varying organic carbon content and the data were fit to a straight line, or a Freundlich isotherm with 1/n=1. When the data were fit to a Freundlich isotherm with 1/n between 0.9 and 1.1, these data were refit to a straight line to obtain K_{oc} . Experiments have shown that if 1/n is between 0.9 and 1.1 it is statistically more valid to assume that the isotherm is linear (J.C. Crittenden, Dept. of Civil and Environmental Eng., Michigan Tech, personal communication with James Baker, September, 1993); 2) either the soils were sterilized to inhibit biological degradation of the chemical or other tests were performed to account for no biotic loss of the chemical; 3) sorption equilibrium was confirmed by previous results or sequential sampling; 4) the water was free of dissolved organics; and 5) the pH of the solution was near neutral. These criteria were developed to follow a proposed American Society of Testing and Materials method for activated carbon adsorption studies (J.C. Crittenden, Department of Civil and Environmental engineering, Michigan Technological University, personal communication with Dr. James Mihelcic, August 1992). The system for rating papers in the area of Soil/Water Partitioning is provided in Table 6. When multiple sources of Koc that did not meet all the above criteria were available, the source that fit the most criteria was chosen. Articles that provided data that did not fit any of the criteria were discarded. For example the extensive data base of G.G. Briggs, J. Agric. Food Chem. 29, 1050 (1981) was discarded because the samples in this study were only equilibrated for 2 hours.

Table 7 provides the reliable sources obtained for K_{oc} and the criteria used for evaluation. The first row of Table 7 has the proposed ASTM method. This table was used to decide which references were most reliable in the case where different values for the same chemical were in different papers. Important criteria from above are listed here such as equilibration time, method of sterilization, source of water used, number of soils used and the range of organic carbon in the soil, the pH at which the isotherms were performed, and the specific method used.

4.3.2 Block 3 General Physical Properties

As stated earlier, the Project 801 data have served as a very important starting point for the compilation of general physical properties. The properties not found in Project 801 have been the subject of an open literature search as described previously. Examples of the sources scrutinized are listed in Table 4 (Block 3). The search to date has included both properties at 298.15 K and those as a function of temperature. Some of the temperature dependent data have not yet been correlated with parameterized equations, and may not yet appear in the DIPPR® ESP electronic database. The results of the extractions are summarized in master database table (VALUES.MDB). The coefficients of the temperature dependents are also contained in the VALUES table.

4.3.3 Block 4 Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium

In addition to data sources typically used by chemists, chemical engineers, and environmental scientists, the Project ESP team has broadened the search for partitioning/equilibrium data to medicinal and pharmacological journals.

The latter are particularly good sources for Quantitative Structure Activity Relationships (QSAR) predictive methods. The team is also investigating collections of data compiled by U.S. EPA contractors, as well as the software data files associated with process simulator packages and wastewater fate and transport models. Table 4 summarizes the primary literature citations used to date.

4.3.4 Block 5 Fire and Explosions

A large amount of high quality data are available from international reference material. Project ESP has obtained data from: A.N. Baratov, A.Ya. Korol'chenko, G.I. Kravchukh, et al., *The Handbook for Flammability and Explosability of Chemicals and Substances, and Means of Extinguishing*, Khimia, Moscow, 1990; and K. Nabert and G. Schön, *Sicherheitstechnische Kennzahlen brennbarer Gas and Dampfe*, Deutscher Eichuerlag Gmbtl., Berlin, 1963. The Russian translation was conducted by a native speaker, who translated the introductory material, a summary of methods used in the compilations, and the data. Project ESP found this compilation to be a very rich source of Fire and Explosion data. Fire and explosion data were also translated from the German handbook as well as data for General Physical Properties. A list of principal sources is provided in Table 4, Block 5.

4.3.5 Block 6 Sensory, Health & Toxicity Impacts and 2d Bioconcentration Factors

In addition to the Wilsondisc library search described in Section 4.3.1, the reference sections of collected papers were also searched for relevant titles in the area of Aquatic Toxicity and bioconcentration factor. Consequently, papers were collected from over 40 journals and other research compilations. Journals checked regularly are listed in Table 4 (Block 6). An on-line Current Contents search of the tables of contents of journals not received at MTU is performed periodically.

Another source of information, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Aquatic Toxicity Information Retrieval Data Base (AQUIRE) was purchased from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). This source contains ecotoxicology data, literature references, and EPA data quality codes.

The AQUIRE ecotoxicology data are distributed over nine files: master record file with pointers to data in the other eight files; test chemical purity; concentration of the chemical in water and/or bioconcentration factor and confidence interval; test temperature, hardness, dissolved oxygen, alkalinity, and pH; species name code; CAS number for each record; CAS numbers and corresponding chemical names; comments; references. An additional nine files define the structure of the nine corresponding data files.

These 18 files were uploaded from magnetic tape to MTU's Sun network and converted to text files from VMS-VAX format. A search program was developed to extract all the AQUIRE ecotoxicology information, EPA quality codes, and literature references for a specified species/chemical combination. Each record has 64 fields. The output may be viewed on screen on a Sun terminal, or it can be imported into a spreadsheet or database program as a text file.

A final program is used to aid in condensing the 64 AQUIRE fields into 20 Project ESP fields, reformatting the AQUIRE citations, and translating the EPA review codes into Project ESP rating codes. Removal of key violations (where a paper has more than one data point per species/chemical/citation combination) and consolidation of the 7, 60-character AQUIRE comment fields into 1, 120-character ESP field must be performed manually. Finally, when AQUIRE reports a range for the temperature, pH, or hardness field, the median value is reported and the range is manually entered as a ± value in the Project ESP Comments field.

5.0 Evaluation Criteria

5.1 Quality Assurance

Every effort is made to ensure that the thousands of pieces of data in the Project ESP database are recorded accurately. The quality assurance procedures for the data entry process are threefold; 1) a screening by the reviewer, 2) a screening of the data entry, and item by item check where necessary, and 3) a review for consistency with data from other source materials.

The first review is conducted by the technical reviewer. This initial evaluation consists of an examination of the data generation and collection procedures which are outlined in the literature. The paper is then rated based on the experimental procedures followed.

The second review is conducted by the data entry personnel. The data are transcribed from the literature source onto a data entry form and it is determined if all necessary information is present. The data entry form is then entered directly into an Excel™ spreadsheet. A printout of the spreadsheet is compared to the original publication prior to incorporation into the Project ESP database.

The third review is a quantitative assessment for consistency with other data, thermodynamic relationships, or other theoretical principles. A Windows based error flagging program utilizing Statistical Quality Control (SQC) techniques has been developed which can perform an error analysis on data files for which the units have been converted to a common units system. The program uses the highest rated value as the basis for the test, which is referred to as a "Level 1" test by Project ESP personnel. Presently, any value exceeding the percent error defined for that particular property is labeled as an outlier and is reviewed in detail. The percent error is set differently within each different physical property depending on the general quality of the data available. Table 8 summarizes the percent errors used for the various properties. The values that are flagged are double-checked with the literature for entry errors. If the value is correctly entered but greatly disagrees with the other reported values at the same temperature, an "AF" code (Anomaly flagged) note is placed in the Anomaly column of the project ESP database. If the current highest rated data value does not agree with other available data, the highest rated data point is labeled as "AD" (Anomaly Dropped) in the Anomaly column, the rating is lowered, and a new highest rated data point is determined. The SQC software is then used to compare all available data to the new highest rated data point. During the SQC review process, MTU investigators are asked for any adjustments to the evaluations or ratings based upon agreement with other work, past experience with a particular data source, or other factors. All values which have undergone Level 1 scrutiny are then coded with a "Q1" in the Keywords column. Additional information on the results of the SQC analysis has been made available to Project ESP sponsors at the steering committee meetings. The use of the SQC software to do thermodynamic based comparisons (Level 2 check) across several physical properties (e.g., Henry's Constant should be greater than or equal to the ratio of Vapor Pressure to Aqueous Solubility) is ongoing. Those data points that have completed a Level 2 analysis are labeled with a "Q2" in the Keywords column.

5.2 Qualitative Review

A required component of Project ESP is to provide a critical evaluation and quality assessment of each of the data points in the data compilation. The Project ESP investigator evaluates the data source material based on 5 basic criteria (Table 9) where each is ranked on a scale from 0 to 2. This system provides that well documented primary sources receive a higher score than incompletely documented or secondary sources. Separate evaluation criteria for BOD and Soil/Water Partition Coefficient as stated in Section 4.3.1 are shown in Table 5 and Table 7. As mentioned previously, the data and data analysis for the Acute Aquatic Toxicity Block are managed differently from the engineering parameters and therefore also have separate evaluation criteria as illustrated in Table 10.

The data from Project 801 automatically receive a rating higher than the data gathered by the Project ESP team because of the rigorous data entry, testing and quality checking procedures. The quality codes used by Project 801 have been incorporated into the Project ESP data management and tracking system. However, they are not completely compatible. To make them compatible, the Project 801 ratings were translated into the same scale as Project ESP ratings. Project ESP ratings range from 0 to 10. The Project 801 ratings were given ratings which ranged from 11 to 14 with 14 being the highest rating. This was performed by allocating points to Project 801 coding as shown in Table 11. This provided the ability for the software product to preferentially choose Project 801 values over Project ESP values. In the cases where Project 801's type of data is predicted, defined, smoothed, unknown, or extrapolated, the rating is left blank as stated in Table 11. In these cases, Project ESP data are chosen. Project ESP data are also chosen preferentially in some Fire and Explosion data values because of past complications in Project 801 data. Any changes to the Project 801 ratings are communicated to the Project 801 staff.

Data in AQUIRE are rated by the EPA on a 5-number scale. The AQUIRE Technical Support Document defines these review codes as follows:

- 1 = Thorough methods and documentation;
- 2 = Documentation generally satisfactory, but one or more of the pieces of information are missing from either the methods or results section, such as control information or chemical concentrations are unmeasured;
- 3 = Insufficient methods and results documentation;
- 4 = Indicates data is available only in a limited format, such as conference proceeding abstracts or English language abstracts for untranslated international papers;
- 5 = This file contains laboratory data for acute toxicity of organic chemicals, using a single test species (30-day fathead minnows). The information was transferred via computer files and was not subjected to the standard AQUIRE procedures. All test results, including data not available on-line, are available in five volumes titled: Acute Toxicities of Organic Chemicals to Fathead Minnows (*Pimephales promelas*), (Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies; University of Wisconsin-Superior; 1984, 1985, 1986, 1988, and 1990).

Review codes are converted to Project ESP's ten-point scale rating codes as follows: 1 = 9; 2 = 5; 3 = 1; 4 = 0; 5 = 10.

Ratings for AQUIRE in general are not based on an author's reputation. Rather, they are based on subjective impressions of Project ESP investigators about the data set based on the completeness of detail in the experimental methods. A data digest is attached to each paper reviewed. If key experiment details are not available (such as: test temperature; whether values reported are nominal or measured, especially for volatile organics; whether system was static or flow through; whether dosing was constant or intermittent; whether controls were missing; whether pH was reported, especially for metals; etc.) the rating will be low because the reliability to the end user is reduced. Lower rated values should always be used only with caution.

5.3 Quantitative Reviews

The Qualitative Review rating system provides an initial screen of data but is not intended to replace more quantitative analysis by each investigator. Once enough data have been received and reviewed, a more quantitative evaluation for physical and thermodynamic consistency is conducted. The first evaluation is a visual inspection for "smoothness" and consistency. Consistent values from differing data sources are considered more trustworthy than values which are scattered. Tests for accuracy include investigator examination of the methods by which the data were obtained to see if acceptable experimental and quality assurance procedures were followed. The investigators look particularly for analytical methods which can be traced back to quantitative primary standards.

The data sets are also examined for their consistency with theoretical relationships and empirical predictive methods of Project 912. Since the Project ESP effort concentrates on collecting data on many interrelated properties, data from more than one property code can be checked to confirm their consistency with simple theoretical relationships or more complex interactions. After such quantitative analysis, the investigators assign a final quality code to the data which then can be drawn into the software product.

5.3.1 Statistical Quality Control (SQC)

Prior to December of 1996, the date at which time SQC was applied to the Project ESP database, a manually intensive program using QBASIC language performed similar tasks. The QBASIC Program was a quantitative assessment for consistency with other data, thermodynamic relationships, or other theoretical principals. It consisted of two steps: conversion of units and percent error analysis, both of which utilize computer programs generated for the Project ESP project. The original data collected from the literature are reported in many different units and entered into the database in the original units. An automated unit conversion routine was employed to put the data into consistent units (SI, or user-defined).

A more automated system is now employed using a Windows software utility to review the Project ESP database. This software applies SQC techniques to compare data values in some of the same ways as the older QBASIC routines. The SQC program uses the highest rated value as the basis for the test. The internal comparison to the highest rated data value for a particular chemical and property code combination is referred to as a "Level 1" SQC review. Presently, any value exceeding a defined percent error tolerance value is identified as an outlier in the output file. The percent error tolerance value is set differently for each property within the different property blocks depending on the general quality of the data available, e.g. 10% error for most of Block 3 and 50% error for Block 6. The outlying values are double checked with the literature to identify entry errors. If the value has been correctly entered, but greatly disagrees with the other reported values at the same temperature, a note is placed in the comments indicating such. The project investigators are asked for any adjustments to the evaluations or ratings of that particular entry based upon "agreement with other work."

All values which have undergone a quantitative review are coded with a Q character in the Keywords column of the VALUES table. The data analyzed using the older QBASIC routines received a code of "Q" when they passed the review criteria. The newer SQC system uses codes of "Q1" for data values passing the Level 1 check, and "Q2" for passing a Level 2 review, as defined below.

The Level 2 data evaluation is a comparison of physical properties using additional SQC criteria. It involves the comparison of data values for a given property code to another property, an algebraic calculation involving one or more properties, or comparison to a specified range. Examples of Level 2 data evaluations are confirming that all melting points are less than their corresponding normal boiling points, or that the Henry's Law Constant is greater than or equal to the ratio of the vapor pressure to solubility. Data values successfully completing a Level 2 review are designated in the Project ESP software with a "Q2" quality code.

5.3.2 Classification of Errors Using SQC

When performing an analysis using the SQC system, the "actual error" rate and "flag" rate must be carefully separated. Each data value flagged by the SQC software is examined and analyzed to determine the classification or type of error. All data that successfully pass the SQC check will have a "Q1" or "Q2" entered into the database column which will be seen in the Project ESP software product.

For those data values that do not pass the SQC criteria, the original article is reviewed so that an error code can be attached to the data value. Those values receiving an error code of "AF" (Anomaly Flagged) or "AD" (Anomaly, Rating Dropped) are reviewed by an MTU investigator to determine their accuracy, and a recommendation made as to their disposition. Data values that are determined to be data entry mistakes will be flagged as "DE" (Data Entry Error) and corrected in the Project ESP data files.

Values that have been flagged with an "AF" code are values that are outside the tolerance range when compared to the highest qualitatively rated data value for a particular chemical and physical property, but the value has been correctly transcribed from the original literature reference. An anomaly flag of "AD" is used when a number of literature sources report data values that are in agreement with each other, but are outside the tolerance range when compared to the highest qualitatively rated data value. The original literature references are reviewed, and a determination is made as to whether a transcription error has occurred with the highest rated value, or whether different experimental conditions or techniques were used for the various literature sources. A reliability assessment is also made about the authors of the literature source, based on the experience of MTU investigators. If an error in transcription has occurred, the highest rated value is corrected and labeled "DE." If it is found that the highest rated value uses a less reliable experimental technique, or there are questions about the quality of work based on the authors, the highest rated value is labeled "AD", the qualitative numeric rating is lowered, and the SQC system is run again to check the data against the new highest rated value. The "DE", "AF", and "AD" codes are not displayed within the Project ESP software product, but they are documented by the SQC tracking system and are available in the Access format sponsor release datafile.

Data values that are flagged with an error code of "DE" are rechecked by the SQC system during the next SQC review of the Project ESP database. At that time, it is anticipated that the error will not be repeated, and the values will receive a rating of "Q1" or "Q2". An Anomaly Tracking Form is kept with the database reference article so that MTU

staff have a complete record of any changes made to data values from a particular reference. The output files from the SQC system, which are a compilation of all errors identified for the criteria checks run, are logged and dated in a notebook and maintained in a file according to the physical property on which the SQC analysis was completed. The SQC output files can be cross referenced to the individual data errors on the Anomaly Tracking Forms attached to each Project ESP database reference paper. Table 12 contains the current results from the SQC Review System.

6.0 Data Tracking and Management

6.1 General Overview

An integral part of the compilation effort is to organize into an efficient software system the thousands of reference materials and tens of thousands of pieces of data. Ideally the organizational system would allow the Project ESP team quick and easy access to the data as well as data source materials and provide a mechanism to download the data for quantitative review and for the final software product. To accomplish this task the Project ESP team, with the assistance of a software and database consultant, developed the data management and tracking system based on a relational database approach. This tracking database is designed around a MS Access[™] driver and customized according to the needs of Project ESP.

The purpose of the tracking database is to provide a method to identify, track, and store information about the Project ESP chemical and property lists, data source materials, data values, units, and qualitative rating. In order to efficiently design the database, these types of information were separated into tables and key relationships among them were established. For an efficient database design, relationships are made between categories by assigning a key field to the categories one wishes to relate and by assigning an arbitrary numbering or coding system to that key field. In the tracking database, the chemical, property, and source materials lists are separate tables, each with an assigned number and/or code. The values for each chemical and property combination are kept in a separate table and related to the chemical, property, and citation lists by the appropriate numbers and codes. In this manner, the data source material can be sorted, tagged and downloaded independently of the data associated with it. Conversely, each piece of data is identified by its source.

6.2 Datafiles Description

The data source tables are distributed to project sponsors on an annual basis in the Access format. A brief description of each table and its purpose and structure is given below.

The VALUES Table is the primary data table which contains the chemical number, property code, quality code, citation number, data value, unit, comment, and rating. The structure of the table is provided in Table 13 with a brief description of what each field contains. The data contained in VALUES is quantitatively assessed for errors and is in the original units given in the source material. Since this table is the primary data Table a multitude of forms, scripts, and reports are generated from it. These are described in greater detail below.

The CITATION Table contains the data about the source material, i.e. the author, title, journal or book, and publication date. The Project ESP data entry personnel have developed a standard format for entering data into this table from different data sources. This format is not completely compatible with the references which are obtained from Project 801 data files. The Project 801 reference numbers have been given paper numbers starting from 80,000 to distinguish them from Project ESP data sources. In addition to these Project 801 datafiles, a number of calculations have been performed over the course of Projects ESP and 912 by different researchers which must be documented. Therefore it has become necessary to develop a system of identifying these with distinct citation numbers. These citation numbers are identified by project number, year, and sequence. For example, the first set of calculations performed by Project ESP in the year 1995 are given the number ESP951. The second set would be designated ESP952, and so on. The year 1995 follows the project year: June 1, 1995 - May 31, 1996. The structure of the CITATION Table is given in Table 14.

The PROPERTY Table contains information about the Project ESP properties. This includes the property code name, reviewer name, and default units for that property. A "Y" indicates that the property is temperature dependent. This Table is used in the Project ESP commercial software and the structure is given in Table 15.

The CHEMICAL Table contains information about the chemical list in Project ESP. The structure and information in the CHEMICAL Table are provided in Table 16. This provides a valuable link between the software and the VALUES Table because they contain the CAS number and VALUES Table does not.

The CONVUNIT Table contains the unit conversion information. It contains fields listing the default units and original literature units, and the multipliers and addends required to convert between the two. The structure is provided in Table 17. This Table is used in the units conversion routine in the Project ESP software product. The unit conversion data are defined by the Project ESP staff and conversions may be added or changed as necessary to satisfy user needs.

Another temporary table is the Completion Report.xls table. Based on the data which are entered in the VALUES table, this completion report is an output of the chemicals and property codes for which there are data entered. It is a good method of determining where there are holes in the database which need to be filled. The completeness report identifies where at least one value has been entered for each chemical for each property with an "X". A " " is left when there is no value for that property. A summary of the number of data points per property is generated at the end of the report. The purpose of this report is to identify gaps in data, and set priorities for data entry and data searches. This table is furnished upon request.

6.3 Data Entry System

In the initial organization of Project ESP, a data entry system was requested from the software consultants. The purpose of this system was to provide a user friendly and "foolproof" method for data entry. Data entry template software and forms were custom designed in MS Access™ for Project ESP. Access tables were set up to easily update the VALUES, CHEMICAL, CITATION, PROPERTY, and CONVUNIT tables. These tables allow the data entry personnel to enter, delete or modify records which have been entered.

A system has been developed to track and handle data source material and to provide a uniform method for data entry. Once a data source has been located, it is immediately attached to a DIPPR® Tracking Form (Figure 1). A Citation Entry Format (Figure 2) is used to provide uniform entry for varied material such as journal articles, books, chapters from books, government or industrial reports, or staff-generated materials. The original tracking form is forwarded to the appropriate reviewer. The reviewer rates the paper, offers comments concerning the quality of the data, and notes the chemicals and properties contained in the paper.

The data entry personnel then check the assigned chemical numbers against the chemical list and copy the appropriate (highlighted) data from the publication to data entry forms (Figure 3). The data are then entered directly into an Excel spreadsheet. A printout of this spreadsheet is then double checked against the original publication for any transcription errors. The data are then imported into Access and entered directly into the VALUES Table. Once the data have been entered into the data base, the source material along with the data entry form and Excel printout of the exact data which have been entered into VALUES is filed by citation number in a central file "library."

The data for property Block 6, Acute Aquatic Toxicity, is very different from the engineering related data so the values template is used in a slightly different manner from the other property blocks. This data entry format is shown in Figure 4.

7.0 Temperature Dependent Properties

Several of the properties to be evaluated in Project ESP show temperature dependency as noted in Table 1. The tracking database handles these properties by accepting equation numbers and correlation coefficients as identified under the VALUES table structure (Table 13). All temperature dependent coefficients are developed using temperature units of Kelvin. The temperature range for which the correlation is developed is placed in the value and temperature

fields for lower and upper limits (T_{min} and T_{max}), respectively. Equations used in the Project ESP database are assigned the same numbers as used by Project 801. All sets of coefficients are assigned a citation number which identifies the investigator(s) who developed the coefficients.

Although the source material for temperature dependent data is tracked in the tracking database, the database is not designed to accept multiple values for the same chemical, property, and citation. Thus the tracking database cannot be used as the data repository for the data to determine new correlations. This is done via an ExcelTM spreadsheet application for which a standard format has been developed.

Where it is appropriate, more than one correlation may be developed to accommodate different behavior in different temperature regimes. In those cases, more than one record is added to the tracking database.

An ongoing effort is finding data for the temperature dependent properties to enlarge our data sets for developing temperature dependent correlations. As a rule of thumb, the DIPPR® ESP project will employ the DIPPR® 801 format for all common properties. For data sets of properties not in common with DIPPR® 801, or those covering a different range, we have developed two additional equation forms and have included them in the Project ESP database as equations 200 and 201. Correlation coefficients for specific chemicals and properties are being continually added to the Project ESP database. A minimum of ten data points is needed before we use curve fitting software to generate correlation coefficients. We are continuing our effort to use Project 912 predictive methods to generate predicted data values to supplement experimental data sets that do not meet the minimum requirement of 10 data points.

As decided at the June 1994 DIPPR® ESP/912 meeting at MTU, and reconfirmed at the June 1999 meeting at MTU, we are concerned principally with a temperature range of 250 to 500 K. Literature data that fall outside this temperature range are still used in developing the coefficient correlations, if the data fit the appropriate equation form. MTU personnel review all temperature dependent data plots for expected curve shapes. Values for T_{min} and T_{max} are selected based on curve shape, temperature range of available data, and to eliminate any inflection points on the plots of temperature dependent data. It is not recommended that a user of the Project ESP database extrapolate a set of coefficients to a temperature outside the range of T_{min} to T_{max} .

8.0 Estimation Methods

Even after a thorough literature search, gaps still remain for many properties and chemicals. These gaps are identified by running a completion report as described previously. Various estimation methods are utilized to fill these gaps. Values developed from estimation methods are identified in color in the Project ESP software product and details on the method used are provided in the comments. The estimation technique methods that have already been used in the Project ESP software product are summarized in Table 18 and some are described in more detail briefly below. Some data gaps remain to be filled using appropriate, validated estimation techniques. Full documentation of the methods used and their selection criteria have been reported in the 1994 DIPPR® Project 912 Progress Report.

8.1 Biochemical Oxygen Demand

The Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) is estimated by substituting it for the Theoretical Oxygen Demand (ThOD) in the equation below.

$$COD = ThOD$$
 Eqn 4

This provides a potentially overly optimistic value for BOD. This is especially true because even if a chemical is readily biodegradable, its ThOD and ultimate BOD may be similar, but its 5-day BOD may not. Therefore a comment is inserted which states "This BOD_5 value is estimated from the chemical's ThOD and may be overly optimistic of its biodegradability."

ThOD is the theoretical oxygen demand, or the amount of oxygen stoichiometrically required to oxidize an organic chemical to end products such as CO_2 and H_2O . Calculation of ThOD is explained in Section 8.3.

8.2 Chemical Oxygen Demand

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) is a measure of the oxygen consumed by oxidizing a sample under harsh oxidizing conditions of the COD test. Under these conditions COD was estimated for the following classes of compounds by equating it to the ThOD

Aromatic compounds: phenols, chloroquinones, nitroquinones, aromatic acids, aromatic amines, aromatic thiols, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. The user can have 90% statistical confidence that the COD for these compounds will be within the range of (0.91·ThOD) to (1.0·ThOD).

Nonaromatic compounds: esters, ethers, nitriles, aldehydes, ketones, acids, glycerine esters. The user can have 90% statistical confidence that the COD for these compounds will be within the range of (0.92·ThOD) to (1.0·ThOD).

If the COD for a chemical, not included in the above mentioned classes, is required, measure the value if the accuracy is crucial. The COD test is relatively quick (several hours) and inexpensive. Many companies have the facilities to perform this test in the labs associated with their wastewater treatment plants. If the correct oxidation end products are assumed, the experimental COD should never be greater than the ThOD. Therefore a COD equal to ThOD will always be a conservative estimate of oxygen demand.

8.3 Theoretical Oxygen Demand

Theoretical Oxygen Demand (ThOD) is estimated for specific organic compounds based on the stoichiometry of a balanced chemical reaction which describes the reaction of the organic chemical with oxygen to produce theoretical inorganic end products. The following guidelines were utilized to determine the reaction's inorganic end products. Organic chemicals which contain only carbon and hydrogen were assumed to be converted to CO₂ and H₂O; organic chemicals which contain carbon, hydrogen, and sulfur were assumed to be converted to CO₂, H₂O, and SO₄²⁻; organic chemicals which contain carbon, hydrogen, and phosphorus were assumed to be converted to CO₂, H₂O and orthophosphate forms (i.e., H₂PO₄ or HPO₄²⁻); organic chemicals which contain carbon, hydrogen, and halogens (e.g., chlorine, bromine) were assumed to be converted to CO₂, H₂O and the respective halogen ion (e.g., Cl⁻, Br⁻); and, organic chemicals which contain carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen were assumed to be converted to CO₂, H₂O, and ammonia. In the later case the oxygen demand associated with nitrogenous theoretical oxygen demand was found by showing the stoichiometric amount of oxygen required to oxidize the ammonia to nitrate.

An exception to these rules is chemicals with nitro or nitroso groups. The nitrogen in nitro or nitroso groups will be converted to ammonia under anaerobic, reducing conditions. Under aerobic conditions the nitro or nitroso groups will go directly to nitrite and subsequently to nitrate. In order to calculate the carbonaceous ThOD for chemicals with nitro or nitroso groups, the stoichiometry was drawn out by hand assuming nitro or nitroso groups go to nitrate and all other nitrogen goes to ammonia. For combined carbonaceous and nitrogenous oxygen demand all the nitrogen was assumed to go to nitrate.

8.4 Partitioning/Equilibrium Parameters

To fill data gaps in Blocks 2 and 4, the UNIFAC activity coefficient model is being used to provide estimates for those properties involving nonideal liquids. From fundamental principles, all the partitioning and equilibrium properties are functions of liquid-phase activity coefficients. Under DIPPR® Project 912, a software algorithm has been developed to implement phase equilibrium and partitioning calculations based on UNIFAC activity coefficients. Three UNIFAC parameter sets are available in the software: (1) a published UNIFAC-VLE set, derived primarily from vapor-liquid equilibrium data; (2) a published UNIFAC-LLE set recommended for liquid-liquid equilibrium calculations; and (3) the UNIFAC-ENV, a new parameter set developed at MTU and optimized for environmental systems, e.g. dilute aqueous mixtures. Testing UNIFAC against data compiled under Project ESP has led to a preferred choice of parameter sets for each property. This ranking is described below.

8.4.1 Solubility of a Chemical in Water

Mutual miscibility can be calculated rigorously for any partially miscible binary mixture by assuming soluterich and solvent-rich phases in contact at equilibrium. In such a case, the solute is defined as the dissolved organic and the solvent as water. For a binary system, equal solute and solvent chemical potentials in the two phases at equilibrium give rise to two coupled nonlinear algebraic equations. The two unknowns are the organic solubility in the water-rich phase and the water solubility in the organic-rich phase. These quantities are found numerically by a computer program based on the UNIFAC liquid-phase activity coefficient model.

8.4.2 Solubility of Water in a Chemical

Most models of aqueous solubility focus on the dissolved solute and ignore the mutual miscibility of the solvent in a solute-rich phase at equilibrium. However, the phase equilibrium (activity coefficient) approach outlined earlier has the advantage of predicting both ends of the binary miscibility tie-line. The endpoints of the tie-line are referred to as the solubility of the chemical in water and of water in the chemical, respectively.

Recommended UNIFAC Parameters for Solubility: The UNIFAC-LLE parameter set is recommended as the first choice and UNIFAC-ENV as the second choice. Test calculations have shown comparable accuracy for the two sets. The UNIFAC-VLE set is used when both LLE and ENV parameters are missing.

8.4.3 1-Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient (Kow)

A UNIFAC-based model of 1-octanol/water partitioning is an extension of the general procedure for liquid-liquid equilibrium calculations. UNIFAC activity coefficients permit phase equilibrium calculations for the binary 1-octanol/water system. Because the two components are almost immiscible in each other, two phases will form: an 1-octanol-rich phase containing dissolved water, and a water-rich phase containing dissolved 1-octanol. Once the phase compositions are known, infinite dilution activity coefficients for a third component in each phase can be calculated. The 1-octanol/water partition coefficient is directly proportional to the ratio of the infinite dilution activity coefficients for a third component distributed between the water-rich and 1-octanol-rich phases.

Summarizing, the 1-octanol/water partition coefficient is calculated from an activity coefficient model (e.g., UNIFAC) by a series of steps:

- (1) Perform a binary 1-octanol/water equilibrium calculation at the temperature of interest. Component 1 is 1-octanol, component 2 is water, and component 3 is the distributing chemical. The 1-octanol-rich phase is designated Phase I, and the water-rich phase is designated Phase II.
- (2) Define K_{ow} as the ratio of the concentrations of a third component distributed between the 1-octanol-rich and water-rich phases. As the mole fraction of the distributed chemical in the two phases approaches zero (i.e., approaches infinite dilution of component 3), the K_{ow} concentration ratio approaches a constant value.
- (3) Determine the total molar densities of Phases I and II from the calculated phase compositions. The concentration of component 3 in each phase is the product of its mole fraction and the total molar density of that phase.
- (4) Use the calculated compositions of Phases I and II to estimate the activity coefficients of component 3 at infinite dilution in each phase. At equilibrium, component 3 must have equal fugacities in the two phases, so the mole fraction ratio of component 3 in Phases I and II is equal to the activity coefficient ratio in Phases II and I.
- (5) Calculate K_{ow} as the ratio of total molar densities of Phases I and II multiplied by the ratio of infinite dilution activity coefficients of component 3 in Phases II and I. The CLOGP software was also used as a source of estimated values.

8.4.4 Soil/Water Partitioning

Soil water partition coefficients normalized to organic carbon, Koc, were estimated from the following relationship which relates Koc to Kow. This method is valid for chemicals which follow: $1.7 \le \log K_{ow} < 7.0$.

$$\log \text{Koc} = 0.903 \log \text{Kow} + 0.094; r^2 = 0.91$$

Eqn 5

8.4.5 Bioconcentration Factor

Estimates are taken from an empirical correlation to log₁₀K_{ow} presented by G. Briggs, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 29:1050-1059 (1981), or if molecular connectivity indices are available, then R. Kock, pp.207-222 in K.L.E. Kaiser (ed.) QSAR in Environmental Toxicology, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, Netherlands (1984), or if solubility is available, then E.E. Kenaga and C.A.I. Goring, pp. 78-115 in J.G. Eaton, P.R. Parrish, and A.C. Hendricks (eds.) Aquatic Toxicology: Proceedings of the Third Annual Symposium on Aquatic Toxicology. ASTM STP 707, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA (1980).

8.4.6 Activity Coefficients

To fill data gaps, the UNIFAC algorithm is being used to calculate activity coefficient estimates from chemical structure. The DIPPR® Project ESP compilation contains infinite dilution activity coefficients for the chemical in water and for water in the chemical. Because the Henry's constant data upon which UNIFAC-ENV was derived is considered to be of high quality, the infinite dilution activity coefficients for the chemical in water come from the UNIFAC-ENV parameter set. The UNIFAC-VLE and UNIFAC-LLE parameters are used as second and third selections, respectively. Generally, we lack validated data for water solubility in chemicals, so the activity coefficient of water in a chemical is computed from the standard UNIFAC-LLE model. The UNIFAC-VLE parameters are used as a second estimation source, while the UNIFAC-ENV model is used as a last choice.

8.4.7 Henry's Law Constant

This is the product of the infinite dilution activity coefficient and the pure component vapor pressure. The latter is obtained from the temperature-dependent equation in DIPPR® Project 801 or from data compiled under DIPPR® Project ESP. The UNIFAC-ENV parameter set is the first choice for calculating the infinite dilution activity coefficient, followed by UNIFAC-VLE and UNIFAC-LLE.

9.0 Database Software (Sponsor Version and ENVIRON 2001)

9.1 Description

A completed objective of Project ESP was to provide a DOS software product incorporating features such as CAS number indexing, literature citations, quality codes, and temperature dependent graphing capabilities. The first version of this software was developed during the 1992 effort. The software was written in C language, based on Paradox engine, with Hiscreen Pro by Softway screen package, and Quinn-Curtis Science and Engineering graphic package. The product was designed to run on any 286 or higher IBM compatible computer with 640 RAM and a VGA or EGA monitor. The software was mouse compatible. The final DOS software product (Sponsor Version 6.0) was released to the Project ESP Steering Committee in July 1998.

Future public dissemination of Project ESP data in electronic form will be done through a third party vendor, EPCON International (www.epcon.com) within a product entitled ENVIRON2001[®]. ENVIRON2001[®] utilizes a MS Visual Basic™ Version 5.0 user interface. The Paradox™ format database structure that has been in use since 1992 by Project ESP was retained for purposes of data entry, data manipulation, and overall database structure. The VALUES, CITATION, and CHEMICAL Paradox™ format files was translated into MS Access™ format for easier use with both Visual Basic™ interfaces; DIADEM and the product being developed by EPCON. The user help file for ENVIRON 2001 gives details of use which should be examined before using this product.

9.2 Software Tables

Project ESP sponsors continue to receive the Project ESP database prior to public release. At present, the sponsors receive all data in Access™ format. The primary data table, VALUES, contains all data collected within the Project ESP effort.

Table 1: Property List

| Property Code | Project ESP Description | Technical Steering Committee Description | |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| Block 1 a | Oxygen Demand | Oxygen Demand | |
| 1a | Biochemical O ₂ Demand (BOD) | Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) | |
| 1b | Dichromate Chemical O ₂ Demand (COD) | Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | |
| 1bp | Permanganate Chemical O ₂ Demand (COD) | Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | |
| 1cc | Theoretical O ₂ Demand, Carbonaceous | Theoretical Oxygen Demand | |
| 1cn | Theoretical O ₂ Demand, Combined (C+N) | | |
| Block 2 | Partitioning Parameters | Partitioning Parameters | |
| 2a | 1-Octanol/Water Partitioning | 1-Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient | |
| 2b | Soil/Water Partitioning (K _p) | Soil/Water Partition Coefficient | |
| 2c | Organic Carbon/Water Partitioning (Koc) | Organic Carbon/Water Partition Coefficient | |
| 2d | Bioconcentration Factor | Bioconcentration Factor | |
| Block 3 | General Physical Properties | General Physical Properties | |
| 3a | Molecular Weight | Molecular Weight | |
| В | Liquid Density at 298.15 K | Liquid Density at 298.15 K | |
| 3bt | Liquid Density | Liquid Density vs. Temperature | |
| 3с | Solubility in Water | Solubility in Water | |
| 3d | Melting Point | Melting Point | |
| 3e | Normal Boiling Point | Normal Boiling Point (Normal BP) | |
| 3f | Vapor Pressure at 298.15 K | Vapor Pressure at 298.15 K | |
| 3g | Vapor Pressure | Vapor Pressure vs. Temperature | |
| 3h | Molecular Diffusivity in Air | Molecular Diffusivity in Air | |
| 3i | Molecular Diffusivity in Water | Molecular Diffusivity in Water | |
| 3j | Vapor Viscosity | Vapor Viscosity vs. Temperature | |
| 3k | Liquid Viscosity | Liquid Viscosity vs. Temperature | |
| 31 | Surface Tension at 298.15 K | Surface Tension at 298.15 K | |
| 3lt | Surface Tension | Surface Tension vs. Temperature | |
| 3ml | Thermal conductivity, liquid | Thermal Conductivity, liquid vs. Temperature | |

Table 1: Property List (cont.)

| Property Code | Project ESP Description | Technical Steering Committee Description |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Block 6 | Sensory, Health and Toxicity Impacts | Sensory, Health, and Toxicity Impacts |
| 6a-6i | Acute Aquatic Toxicity | Aquatic Toxicity |
| 6ab | Fathead minnow, 48h, EC50 | |
| 6ac | Fathead minnow, 96h, EC50 | |
| 6ba | Fathead minnow, 24h, LC50 | |
| 6bb | Fathead minnow, 48h, LC50 | |
| 6bc | Fathead minnow, 96h, LC50 | |
| 6са | Daphnia magna, 24h, EC50 | |
| 6cb | Daphnia magna, 48h, EC50 | · |
| 6da | Daphnia magna, 24h, LC50 | |
| 6db | Daphnia magna, 48h, LC50 | |
| 6fa | Salmonidae, 24h, LC50 | |
| 6fb | Salmonidae, 48h, LC50 | |
| 6fc | Salmonidae, 96h, LC50 | |
| 6hc | Mysid, 96h, LC50 | |
| 6i | Other | |

Table 2: Default Units and Mathematical Expressions to Convert to Unit Options (cont.)

| Property Code | Project ESP Description | Default Units | Unit Options |
|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 3f | Vapor Pressure at 298.15 K | Pa | |
| 3g | Vapor Pressure | Pa | ÷ $101325 = atm$ x $10^{-5} = bar$ ÷ $1000 = kPa$ x $0.02089 = lbf/ft^2$ x $7.5 \times 10^{-3} = mm Hg$ x $1.45 \times 10^{-4} = psia$ x $7.5 \times 10^{-3} = torr$ |
| 3h | Molecular Diffusivity in Air | cm ² /s | $x 0.155 = in^2/s$ $x 1.076 \times 10^{-3} = ft^2/s$ $x 10^{-4} = m^2/s$ |
| 3i | Molecular Diffusivity in Water | cm ² /s | $x 0.155 = in^2/s$ $x 1.076 \times 10^{-3} = ft^2/s$ $x 10^{-4} = m^2/s$ |
| 3 j | Vapor Viscosity | Pa·s | x 1000 = cp $x 3600 = kg/m \cdot hr$ $x 2419 = lb/ft \cdot hr$ $x 0.6719 = lb/ft \cdot s$ $x 0.02089 = slug/ft \cdot s$ |
| 3k | Liquid Viscosity | Pa·s | x 1000 = cp x 3600 = kg/m·hr x 2419 = lb/ft·hr x 0.6719 = lb/ft·s x 0.02089 = slug/ft·s |
| 31 | Surface Tension at 298.15 K | N/m | x 1000 = dynes/cm x 0.06852 = lbf/ft $x 5.7 \times 10^{-3} = lbf/in$ |
| 3lt | Surface Tension | N/m | x 1000 = dynes/cm x 0.06852 = lbf/ft $x 5.7 \times 10^{-3} = lbf/in$ |
| 3ml | Thermal Conductivity, liquid | W/m·K | $x 0.5781 = BTU/ft \cdot hr \cdot F$ $x 2.39 \times 10^{-3} = cal/cm \cdot s \cdot ^{\circ}C$ $x 0.8604 = kcal/m \cdot hr \cdot ^{\circ}C$ |
| 3mv | Thermal Conductivity, vapor | W/m·K | $x 0.5781 = BTU/ft \cdot hr \cdot F$ $x 2.39 \times 10^{-3} = cal/cm \cdot s \cdot {}^{\circ}C$ $x 0.8604 = kcal/m \cdot hr \cdot C$ |

Table 2: Default Units and Mathematical Expressions to Convert to Unit Options (cont.)

| Property Code | Project ESP Description | Default Units | Unit Options |
|------------------|--|------------------|--|
| Block 4 | Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium | | |
| 4a | Activity Coefficient of Chemical | unit-less | |
| 4b | Activity Coefficient of Water | unit-less | |
| 4c | Aqueous Henry's Law Constant 25°C assumed (Air-water Partition Coeff.) | kPa·mol/mol | x 1.78 x 10 ⁻⁴ = atm·L/mol x 1.78 x 10 ⁻⁷ = atm·m ³ /mol x 9.87 x 10 ⁻³ = atm·mol/mol x 0.01802 = kPa·m ³ /kmol x 0.001 = MPa·mol/mol x 1000 = Pa·mol/mol x 7.5 = Torr·mol/mol x 1.38 x 10 ⁵ = unit-less |
| Block 5 | Fire and Explosion Parameters | | |
| 5al | Lower Flammability Limit in Air | vol% in air | The state of the s |
| 5au | Upper Flammability Limit in Air | vol% in air | |
| 5b | Flash Point | K | -273.15 = °C x 1.8 - 460 = °F |
| 5c | Autoignition Temperature | K | -273.15 = °C x 1.8 - 460 = °F |
| 5d | Enthalpy of Combustion at 298.15 K | J/kmol | $x 4.299 \times 10^{-4} = BTU/lb$ x 0.001 = J/mol $\div 3a \times 2.39 \times 10^{-4} = cal/g$ x 0.1084 = cal/lbmol $\div 3a \times 0.001 = kJ/kg$ x 0.001 = kJ/kmol |
| Block 6 | Sensory, Health and Toxicity Impacts | | |
| 6a-i | Acute Aquatic Toxicity | mg/L | |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS# | Description | Molecular Formula |
|------|------------|--|---|
| 49.0 | 334-88-3 | Diazomethane | $_{\mathrm{CH_2N_2}}$ |
| 50.0 | 132-64-9 | Dibenzofuran | $C_{12}H_{8}O$ |
| 51.0 | 96-12-8 | 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | C ₁₂ 11 ₈ O C ₃ H ₅ Br ₂ Cl |
| 52.0 | 84-74-2 | Dibutyl Phthalate | $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ |
| 53.0 | 106-46-7 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | $C_{16}H_{12}O_{4}$ $C_{6}H_{4}Cl_{2}$ |
| 54.0 | 91-94-1 | 3,3-Dichlorobenzidene | |
| 55.0 | 111-44-4 | Dichloroethyl Ether (Bis(2-chloroethyl) Ether) | $	ext{C}_{12}	ext{H}_{10}	ext{Cl}_2	ext{N}_2 \ 	ext{C}_4	ext{H}_8	ext{Cl}_2	ext{O}$ |
| 56.0 | 542-75-6 | 1,3-Dichloropropene, mixture | $C_4H_8CI_2O$ $C_3H_4CI_2$ |
| 56.1 | 10061-01-5 | 1,3-Dichloropropene, Z (cis) | $C_3H_4CI_2$ $C_3H_4CI_2$ |
| 56.2 | 10061-02-6 | 1,3-Dichloropropene, E (trans) | · — |
| 57.0 | 62-73-7 | Dichlorvos | C₃H₄Cl₂ |
| 58.0 | 111-42-2 | Diethanolamine | C ₄ H ₇ Cl ₂ O ₄ P |
| 59.0 | 121-69-7 | N,N-Dimethylaniline | $C_4H_{11}NO_2$ |
| 59.1 | 91-66-7 | N,N-Diethylaniline | C ₈ H ₁₁ N |
| 60.0 | 64-67-5 | Diethyl Sulfate | $C_{10}H_{15}N$ |
| 61.0 | 119-90-4 | 3,3'-Dimethoxybenzidine | $C_4H_{10}O_4S$ |
| 62.0 | 60-11-7 | 4-(Dimethylamino)azobenzene | $C_{14}H_{16}N_2O_2$ |
| 63.0 | 119-93-7 | 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine | $C_{14}H_{15}N_3$ |
| 64.0 | 79-44-7 | Dimethylcarbamyl Chloride | $C_{14}H_{16}N_2$ |
| 65.0 | 68-12-2 | Dimethyl Formamide | C₃H₅CINO |
| 66.0 | 57-14-7 | 1,1-Dimethylhydrazine | C₃H ₇ NO |
| 67.0 | 131-11-3 | Dimethyl Phthalate | $C_2H_8N_2$ |
| 68.0 | 77-78-1 | Dimethyl Sulfate | $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ |
| 69.0 | 534-52-1 | 4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol, and salts | C ₂ H ₆ O ₄ S |
| 70.0 | 51-28-5 | 2,4-Dinitrophenol | $C_7H_6N_2O_5$ |
| 71.0 | 121-14-2 | 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | $C_6H_4N_2O_5$ |
| 72.0 | 123-91-1 | 1,4-Dioxane (1,4-Diethyleneoxide) | $C_7H_6N_2O_4$ $C_4H_8O_2$ |
| 73.0 | 122-66-7 | 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine | $C_{11}B_{02}$ $C_{12}H_{12}N_2$ |
| 74.0 | 106-89-8 | Epichlorohydrin | $C_{12}I_{12}I_{12}I_{2}$ $C_{3}H_{5}CIO$ |
| 75.0 | 106-88-7 | 1,2-Epoxybutane | C ₄ H ₈ O |
| 76.0 | 140-88-5 | Ethyl Acrylate | C ₅ H ₈ O ₂ |
| 77.0 | 100-41-4 | Ethylbenzene | C_8H_{10} |
| 78.0 | 51-79-6 | Ethyl Carbamate (Urethane) | C ₃ H ₇ NO ₂ |
| 79.0 | 75-00-3 | Ethyl Chloride (Chloroethane) | C ₂ H ₅ Cl |
| 80.0 | 106-93-4 | Ethylene Dibromide (Dibromoethane) | $C_2H_4Br_2$ |
| 81.0 | 107-06-2 | Ethylene Dichloride (1,2-Dichloroethane) | $C_2H_4Cl_2$ |
| 82.0 | 107-21-1 | Ethylene Glycol | $C_{2}H_{4}C_{12}$ $C_{2}H_{6}O_{2}$ |
| 83.0 | 151-56-4 | Ethylene Imine (Aziridine) | $C_2H_5O_2$ C_2H_5N |
| 84.0 | 75-21-8 | Ethylene Oxide | C_2H_4O |
| 85.0 | 96-45-7 | Ethylene Thiourea | C ₃ H ₆ N ₂ S |
| 86.0 | 75-34-3 | Ethylidene Dichloride (1,1-Dichloroethane) | $C_3H_4Cl_2$ $C_2H_4Cl_2$ |
| 87.0 | 50-00-0 | Formaldehyde | C ₂ 11 ₄ C ₁₂ CH ₂ O |
| 88.0 | 76-44-8 | Heptachlor | $C_{10}H_5Cl_7$ |
| 89.0 | 118-74-1 | Hexachlorobenzene | C ₆ Cl ₆ |
| 90.0 | 87-68-3 | Hexachlorobutadiene | C ₄ Cl ₆ |
| 91.0 | 77-47-4 | Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | C ₅ Cl ₆ |
| 92.0 | 67-72-1 | Hexachloroethane | C ₂ Cl ₆ |
| 93.0 | 822-06-0 | Hexamethylene-1,6-diisocyanate | $C_{8}H_{12}N_{2}O_{2}$ |
| 94.0 | 680-31-9 | Hexamethylphosphoramide | $C_8 H_{12} N_2 O_2$ $C_6 H_{18} N_3 OP$ |
| 95.0 | 110-54-3 | Hexane | C ₆ H ₁₈ N ₃ OF C ₆ H ₁₄ |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS # | Description | Molecular Formula |
|-------|------------------|--|---|
| 146.0 | 100-42-5 | Styrene | C ₈ H ₈ |
| 147.0 | 96-09-3 | Styrene Oxide | C_8H_8O |
| 148.0 | 1746-01-6 | 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin | $C_{12}H_4Cl_4O_2$ |
| 149.0 | 79-34-5 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | $C_2H_2Cl_4$ |
| 150.0 | 127-18-4 | Tetrachloroethylene (Perchloroethylene) | C_2Cl_4 |
| 151.0 | 7550-45-0 | Titanium Tetrachloride | Cl ₄ Ti |
| 152.0 | 108-88-3 | Toluene | C_7H_8 |
| 153.0 | 95-80-7 | 2,4-Toluenediamine | $C_7H_{10}N_2$ |
| 154.0 | 584-84-9 | Tolylene 2,4-Diisocyanate | $C_9H_6N_2O_2$ |
| 155.0 | 95-53-4 | o-Toluidine | C_7H_9N |
| 156.0 | 8001-35-2 | Toxaphene (chlorinated camphene) | , , |
| 157.0 | 120-82-1 | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | $C_6H_3Cl_3$ |
| 158.0 | 79-00-5 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | C ₂ H ₃ Cl ₃ |
| 159.0 | 79-01-6 | Trichloroethylene | C ₂ HCl ₃ |
| 160.0 | 95-95-4 | 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | C ₆ H ₃ Cl ₃ O |
| 161.0 | 88-06-2 | 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | C ₆ H₃Cl₃O |
| 162.0 | 121-44-8 | Triethylamine | C ₆ H ₁₅ N |
| 163.0 | 1582-09-8 | Trifluralin | $C_{13}H_{16}F_3N_3O_4$ |
| 164.0 | 540-84-1 | 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | C ₈ H ₁₈ |
| 165.0 | 108-05-4 | Vinyl Acetate | $C_4H_6O_2$ |
| 166.0 | 593-60-2 | Vinyl Bromide | C_2H_3Br |
| 167.0 | 75-01-4 | Vinyl Chloride | C ₂ H ₃ Cl |
| 168.0 | 75-35-4 | Vinylidene Chloride (1,1-dichloroethylene) | $C_2H_2Cl_2$ |
| 169.0 | 1330-20-7 | Xylenes (isomers & mixture) | C ₈ H ₁₀ |
| 170.0 | 95-47-6 | o-Xylene | C_8H_{10} |
| 171.0 | 108-38-3 | m-Xylene | C_8H_{10} |
| 172.0 | 106-42-3 | p-Xylene | C ₈ H ₁₀ |
| 173.0 | 627-13-4 | Propyl Nitrate | C ₃ H ₇ NO ₃ |
| 174.0 | 74-89-5 | Methylamine | CH ₅ N |
| 175.0 | 74-90-8 | Hydrogen Cyanide | CHN |
| 176.0 | 74-93-1 | Methyl Mercaptan | CH ₄ S |
| 177.0 | 75-04-7 | Ethylamine | C ₂ H ₇ N |
| 178.0 | 75-31-0 | Isopropylamine | C₃H ₉ N |
| 179.0 | 75-52-5 | Nitromethane | CH ₃ NO ₂ |
| 180.0 | 75-74-1 | Tetramethyl Lead | $C_4H_{12}Pb$ |
| 181.0 | 75-78-5 | Dimethyldichlorosilane | $C_2H_6Cl_2Si$ |
| 182.0 | 75-79 - 6 | Methyltrichlorosilane | CH₃Cl₃Si |
| 183.0 | 75-91-2 | tert-Butyl Hydroperoxide | $C_4H_{10}O_2$ |
| 184.0 | 76-06-2 | Chloropicrin | CCl ₃ NO ₂ |
| 185.0 | 78-85-3 | Methacrolein | C ₄ H ₆ O |
| 186.0 | 79-21-0 | Peracetic Acid | $C_2H_4O_3$ |
| 187.0 | 79-22-1 | Methyl Chloroformate | C ₂ H ₃ ClO ₂ |
| 188.0 | 79-38-9 | Trifluorochloroethylene | C ₂ ClF ₃ |
| 189.0 | 79-84-4 | Methyl Vinyl Ketone | C ₄ H ₆ O |
| 190.0 | 80-15-9 | Cumene Hydroperoxide | $C_9H_{12}O_2$ |
| 191.0 | 94-36-0 | Dibenzoyl Peroxide | $C_{14}H_{10}O_4$ |
| 192.0 | 96-10-6 | Chlorodiethylaluminum | $C_{14}H_{10}O_{4}$ $C_{4}H_{10}AlCl$ |
| 193.0 | 97-00-7 | 1-Chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene | $C_6H_3CIN_2O_4$ |
| 194.0 | 97-02-9 | 2,4-Dinitroaniline | $C_6H_3CH_2C_4$ $C_6H_5N_3O_4$ |
| 195.0 | 100-01-6 | 4-Nitroaniline | $C_6H_6N_2O_2$ |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS # | Description | Molecular Formula |
|-------|-----------------|---|--|
| 241.0 | 7783-07-5 | Hydrogen Selenide | H ₂ Se |
| 242.0 | 7783-41-7 | Oxygen Difluoride | F_2O |
| 243.0 | 7783-54-2 | Nitrogen Trifluoride | F ₃ N |
| 244.0 | 7783-60-0 | Sulfur Tetrafluoride | F ₄ S |
| 245.0 | 7783-79-1 | Selenium Hexafluoride | F ₆ Se |
| 246.0 | 7783-80-4 | Tellurium Hexafluoride | F_6 Te |
| 247.0 | 7784-42-1 | Arsine | AsH ₃ |
| 248.0 | 13446-10-1 | Ammonium Permanganate | H ₄ MnNO ₄ |
| 249.0 | 7789-30-2 | Bromine Pentafluoride | BrF ₅ |
| 250.0 | 7790-91-2 | Chlorine Trifluoride | ClF ₃ |
| 251.0 | 7790-98-9 | Ammonium Perchlorate | ClH ₄ NO ₄ |
| 252.0 | 7803-49-8 | Hydroxylamine | H₃NO |
| 253.0 | 7803-52-3 | Stibine | H₃Sb |
| 254.0 | 8014-95-7 | Oleum (65-85 wt%) | $H_2O_7S_2$ |
| 255.0 | 9004-70-0 | Cellulose Nitrate (@>12.5% nitrogen) | 1120702 |
| 256.0 | 10025-78-2 | Trichlorosilane | Cl₃HSi |
| 257.0 | 10025-87-3 | Phosphorus Oxychloride | Cl₃OP |
| 258.0 | 10028-15-6 | Ozone | O ₃ |
| 259.0 | 10035-10-6 | Hydrogen Bromide | BrH |
| 260.0 | 10036-47-2 | Tetrafluorohydrazine | F_4N_2 |
| 261.0 | 10049-04-4 | Chlorine Dioxide | ClO ₂ |
| 262.0 | 10102-43-9 | Nitric Oxide | NO |
| 263.0 | 10102-44-0 | Nitrogen Dioxide | NO ₂ |
| 264.0 | 10294-34-5 | Boron Trichloride | BCl ₃ |
| 265.0 | 10544-72-6 | Dinitrogen Tetroxide | N_2O_4 |
| 266.0 | 10544-73-7 | Dinitrogen Trioxide | N_2O_3 |
| 267.0 | 13463-39-3 | Nickel Carbonyl | C ₄ NiO ₄ |
| 268.0 | 13463-40-6 | Iron Pentacarbonyl | C ₅ FeO ₅ |
| 269.0 | 13637-63-3 | Chlorine Pentafluoride | CIF ₅ |
| 270.0 | 13863-41-7 | Bromine Chloride | BrCl |
| 271.0 | 19287-45-7 | Diborane | B_2H_6 |
| 272.0 | 19624-22-7 | Pentaborane | B ₅ H ₉ |
| 273.0 | 20816-12-0 | Osmium Tetroxide | O ₄ Os |
| 274.0 | 27137-85-5 | Trichloro(dichlorophenyl)silane | C ₆ H₃Cl₅Si |
| 275.0 | 30674-80-7 | Methacroyloxyethyl Isocyanate | C ₇ H ₉ NO ₃ |
| 276.0 | 64-19-7 | Acetic Acid | $C_2H_4O_2$ |
| 277.0 | 75-86-5 | Acetone Cyanohydrin | C ₄ H ₇ NO |
| 278.0 | 74-86-2 | Acetylene | C_2H_2 |
| 279.0 | 107-18-6 | Allyl Alcohol | C ₃ H ₆ O |
| 280.0 | 5332-73-0 | 3-Methoxypropylamine | C ₄ H ₁₁ NO |
| 281.0 | 7783-70-2 | Antimony Pentafluoride | F ₅ Sb |
| 282.0 | 7784-34-1 | Arsenic Trichloride | AsCl ₃ |
| 283.0 | 98-8 7-3 | Benzal Chloride | $C_7H_6Cl_2$ |
| 284.0 | 98-16-8 | Benzenamine, 3-(Trifluoromethyl) | C ₇ H ₆ G ₁₂ |
| 285.0 | 140-29-4 | Benzyl Cyanide | C ₈ H ₇ N |
| 286.0 | 353-42-4 | Boron Trifluoride with Methyl Ether (1:1) | C ₂ H ₆ BF ₃ O |
| 287.0 | 598-73-2 | Bromotrifluorethylene | C ₂ BrF ₃ |
| 288.0 | 106-97-8 | Butane | C_4H_{10} |
| 289.0 | 25167-67-3 | Butene | C_4H_{8} |
| 290.0 | 106-98-9 | 1-Butene | C ₄ H ₈ C ₄ H ₈ |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS# | Description | Molecular Formula |
|-------|------------|---|---|
| 334.0 | 109-87-5 | Methylal | C ₃ H ₈ O ₂ |
| 335.0 | 115-11-7 | 2-Methylpropene | C_4H_8 |
| 336.0 | 100-54-9 | Nicotinonitrile | $C_6H_4N_2$ |
| 337.0 | 100-00-5 | p-Nitrochlorobenzene | C ₆ H ₄ CINO ₂ |
| 338.0 | 836-30-9 | p-Nitrodiphenylamine | $C_{12}H_{10}NO_2$ |
| 339.0 | 504-60-9 | 1,3-Pentadiene | C ₅ H ₈ |
| 339.1 | 2004-70-8 | 1,3-Pentadiene, E (trans) | C ₅ H ₈ |
| 339.2 | 1574-41-0 | 1,3-Pentadiene, Z (cis) | C ₅ H ₈ |
| 340.0 | 109-66-0 | Pentane | C ₅ H ₁₂ |
| 341.0 | 109-67-1 | 1-Pentene | C ₅ H ₁₀ |
| 341.1 | 646-04-8 | 2-Pentene, E (trans) | C_5H_{10} |
| 341.2 | 627-20-3 | 2-Pentene, Z (cis) | C_5H_{10} |
| 342.0 | 122-79-2 | Phenyl acetate | $C_8H_8O_2$ |
| 343.0 | 1314-80-3 | Phosphorus Pentasulfide | P_4S_{10} |
| 344.0 | 1314-56-3 | Phosphorus Pentoxide | $O_{10}P_4$ |
| 345.0 | 110-89-4 | Piperidine | C ₅ H ₁₁ N |
| 346.0 | 463-49-0 | Propadiene | C₃H₄ |
| 347.0 | 74-98-6 | Propane | C_3H_8 |
| 348.0 | 107-12-0 | Propionitrile | C ₃ H ₅ N |
| 349.0 | 109-61-5 | Propyl Chloroformate | $C_4H_7ClO_2$ |
| 350.0 | 115-07-1 | Propylene | C ₃ H ₆ |
| 351.0 | 74-99-7 | Propyne | C_3H_4 |
| 352.0 | 140-76-1 | 2-Methyl-5-vinylpyridine | C ₈ H ₉ N |
| 353.0 | 289-95-2 | Pyrimidine | $C_4H_4N_2$ |
| 354.0 | 69-72-7 | Salicylic Acid | $C_7H_6O_3$ |
| 355.0 | 7803-62-5 | Silane | H ₄ Si |
| 356.0 | 497-19-8 | Sodium Carbonate | CNa ₂ O ₃ |
| 357.0 | 110-61-2 | Succinonitrile | $C_4H_4N_2$ |
| 358.0 | 10545-99-0 | Sulfur Dichloride | Cl ₂ S |
| 359.0 | 10025-67-9 | Sulfur Monochloride | Cl_2S_2 |
| 360.0 | 7664-93-9 | Sulfuric Acid | H_2O_4S |
| 361.0 | 75-64-9 | Tert-Butylamine | $C_4H_{11}N$ |
| 362.0 | 75-76-3 | Tetramethylsilane | C ₄ H ₁₂ Si |
| 363.0 | 509-14-8 | Tetranitromethane | CN_4O_8 |
| 364.0 | 108-98-5 | Thiophenol | C_6H_6S |
| 365.0 | 91-08-7 | Toluene 2,6-Diisocyanate | $C_9H_6N_2O_2$ |
| 366.0 | 26471-62-5 | Toluene Diisocyanate (unspecified isomer) | $C_9H_6N_2O_2$ |
| 367.0 | 110-57-6 | trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene | $C_4H_6Cl_2$ |
| 368.0 | 115-21-9 | Trichloroethylsilane | $C_2H_5Cl_3Si$ |
| 369.0 | 75-50-3 | Trimethylamine | C₃H ₉ N |
| 370.0 | 75-77-4 | Trimethylchlorosilane | C ₃ H ₉ ClSi |
| 371.0 | 77-99-6 | Trimethylolpropane | $C_6H_{14}O_3$ |
| 372.0 | 689-97-4 | Vinyl Acetylene | C_4H_4 |
| 373.0 | 109-92-2 | Vinyl Ethyl Ether | C_4H_8O |
| 374.0 | 75-02-5 | Vinyl Fluoride | C_2H_3F |
| 375.0 | 107-25-5 | Vinyl Methyl Ether | C₃H ₆ O |
| 376.0 | 75-38-7 | Vinylidene Fluoride | $C_2H_2F_2$ |
| 377.0 | 18600-41-4 | 3-Methoxypropylamine Hydrochloride | C ₄ H ₁₁ NO·HCl |
| 378.0 | 141-43-5 | Monoethanolamine | C_2H_7NO |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS# | Description | Molecular Formula |
|-------|------------|---|---|
| 428.0 | 53469-21-9 | Aroclor 1242 | |
| 429.0 | 12672-29-6 | Aroclor 1248 | |
| 430.0 | 11096-82-5 | Aroclor 1260 | |
| 431.0 | 37324-23-5 | Aroclor 1262 | |
| 432.0 | 11100-14-4 | Aroclor 1268 | |
| 433.0 | 120-12-7 | Anthracene | $C_{14}H_{10}$ |
| 434.0 | 50-32-8 | Benzo[a]pyrene | $C_{20}H_{12}$ |
| 435.0 | 218-01-9 | Chrysene | $C_{18}H_{12}$ |
| 436.0 | 85-01-8 | Phenanthrene | $C_{14}H_{10}$ |
| 437.0 | 129-00-0 | Pyrene | $C_{16}H_{10}$ |
| 438.0 | 7440-14-4 | Radium | Ra |
| 439.0 | 14859-67-7 | Radon | Rn |
| 440.0 | 7782-49-2 | Selenium | Se |
| 441.0 | 7783-00-8 | Selenious Acid | H₂O₃Se |
| 442.0 | 112-07-2 | Ethylene Glycol Monobutyl Ether Acetate | C ₈ H ₁₆ O ₃ |
| 443.0 | 111-15-9 | Ethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether Acetate | C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₃ |
| 444.0 | 112-36-7 | Diethylene Glycol Diethyl Ether | $C_8H_{18}O_3$ |
| 445.0 | 111-96-6 | Diethylene Glycol Dimethyl Ether | $C_6H_{14}O_3$ |
| 446.0 | 2807-30-9 | Ethylene Glycol Monopropyl Ether | $C_5H_{12}O_2$ |
| 447.0 | 110-80-5 | Ethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether | $C_4H_{10}O_2$ |
| 448.0 | 109-86-4 | Ethylene Glycol Monomethyl Ether | $C_3H_8O_2$ |
| 449.0 | 112-15-2 | Diethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether Acetate | $C_8H_{16}O_4$ |
| 450.0 | 112-34-5 | Diethylene Glycol Monobutyl Ether | C ₈ H ₁₈ O ₃ |
| 451.0 | 112-49-2 | Triethylene Glycol Dimethyl Ether | $C_8H_{18}O_4$ |
| 452.0 | 111-77-3 | Diethylene Glycol Monomethyl Ether | C ₅ H ₁₂ O ₃ |
| 453.0 | 111-90-0 | Diethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether | $C_6H_{14}O_3$ |
| 454.0 | 84-15-1 | o-Terphenyl | $C_{18}H_{14}$ |
| 455.0 | 92-94-4 | p-Terphenyl | $C_{18}H_{14}$ |
| 456.0 | 92-06-8 | m-Terphenyl | $C_{18}H_{14}$ |
| 457.0 | 135-70-6 | p-Quaterphenyl | $C_{24}H_{18}$ |
| 458.0 | 128-37-0 | BHT, 2,6-Di-tert-butyl-p-cresol | C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O |
| 459.0 | 6484-52-2 | Ammonium Nitrate | $H_4N_2O_3$ |
| 460.0 | 96-24-2 | alpha-Chlorohydrin | $C_3H_7CIO_2$ |
| 461.0 | 96-23-1 | alpha-Dichlorohydrin | C₃H ₆ Cl₂O |
| 462.0 | 616-23-9 | beta-Dichlorohydrin | C₃H ₆ Cl ₂ O |
| 463.0 | 637-92-3 | Ethyl tert-butyl Ether | $C_6H_{14}O$ |
| 464.0 | 556-52-5 | Glycidol | $C_3H_6O_2$ |
| 465.0 | 56-55-3 | Benz[a]anthracene | $C_{18}H_{12}$ |
| 466.0 | 110-49-6 | Ethylene Glycol Monomethyl Ether Acetate | $C_5H_{10}O_3$ |
| 467.0 | 542-92-7 | Cyclopentadiene | C_5H_6 |
| 468.0 | 77-73-6 | Dicyclopentadiene | $C_{10}H_{12}$ |
| 469.0 | 617-94-7 | Dimethylbenzyl Alcohol | C ₉ H ₁₂ O |
| 470.0 | 141-79-7 | Mesityl Oxide | $C_6H_{10}O$ |
| 471.0 | 116-09-6 | Hydroxyacetone | $C_3H_6O_2$ |
| 472.0 | 7732-18-5 | Water | H ₂ O |
| 473.0 | 3037-72-7 | (4-Aminobutyl)diethoxymethylsilane | C ₉ H ₂₃ NO ₂ Si |
| 474.0 | 956-36-1 | 2,4-Trimethylbenzene | C ₉ H ₁₂ |
| 475.0 | 95-50-1 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | $C_6H_4Cl_2$ |
| 476.0 | 540-59-0 | 1,2-Dichloroethylene (unspecified cis- or trans-) | $C_2H_2Cl_2$ |
| 477.0 | 88-05-1 | 2,4,6-Trimethylaniline | C ₉ H ₁₃ N |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS# | Description | Molecular Formula |
|-------|------------|---|--|
| 527.0 | 86-73-7 | Fluorene | C ₁₃ H ₁₀ |
| 528.0 | 144-49-0 | Fluoroacetic Acid | $C_2H_3FO_2$ |
| 529.0 | 75-12-7 | Formamide | CH₃NO |
| 530.0 | 98-01-1 | Furfural | $C_5H_4O_2$ |
| 531.0 | 124-09-4 | Hexamethylenediamine | $C_6H_{16}N_2$ |
| 532.0 | 7439-89-6 | Iron | Fe |
| 533.0 | 78-84-2 | Isobutyraldehyde | C ₄ H ₈ O |
| 534.0 | 67-63-0 | Isopropyl Alcohol | C ₃ H ₈ O |
| 535.0 | 301-04-2 | Lead(II) Acetate | $C_4H_6O_4Pb$ |
| 536.0 | 7446-27-7 | Lead Phosphate | $O_8P_2Pb_3$ |
| 537.0 | 121-75-5 | Malathion | $C_{10}H_{19}O_6PS_2$ |
| 538.0 | 21908-53-2 | Mercuric Oxide | HgO |
| 539.0 | 01600-27-7 | Mercuric Acetate | $C_4H_6HgO_4$ |
| 540.0 | 16752-77-5 | Methomyl | $C_5H_{10}N_2O_2S$ |
| 541.0 | 96-33-3 | Methyl Acrylate | $C_{4}H_{6}O_{2}$ |
| 542.0 | 624-92-0 | Methyl Disulfide | $C_4H_6O_2$ $C_2H_6S_2$ |
| 543.0 | 75-54-7 | Dichloromethylsilane | C_{2}^{1} I_{6} S_{2} CH_{4} Cl_{2} Si |
| 544.0 | 74-95-3 | Methylene Bromide | CH_2Br_2 |
| 545.0 | 1313-27-5 | Molybdenum Trioxide | MoO_3 |
| 546.0 | 123-86-4 | Butyl Acetate | - |
| 547.0 | 71-36-3 | Butyl Alcohol | $C_6H_{12}O_2$ $C_4H_{10}O$ |
| 548.0 | 1116-54-7 | N-Nitrosodiethanolamine | |
| 549.0 | 86-30-6 | N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | $C_4H_{10}N_2O_3$ |
| 550.0 | 54-11-5 | Nicotine | $C_{12}H_{10}N_2O \ C_{10}H_{14}N_2$ |
| 551.0 | 55-63-0 | Nitroglycerin | |
| 552.0 | 88-75-5 | 2-Nitrophenol | C₃H₅N₃O9 C₀H₅NO3 |
| 553.0 | 108-03-2 | 1-Nitropropane | C ₃ H ₇ NO ₂ |
| 554.0 | 111-36-4 | Butyl Isocyanate | C ₃ H ₉ NO |
| 555.0 | 4685-14-7 | Paraquat ion | $C_{12}H_{14}N_2$ |
| 556.0 | 2074-50-2 | Paraquat Methylsulfate | $C_{14}H_{20}N_2O_8S_2$ |
| 557.0 | 8030-30-6 | Petroleum Naphtha | C141120112O8S2 |
| 558.0 | 103-71-9 | Phenyl Isocyanate | C ₇ H₅NO |
| 559.0 | 103-85-5 | Phenylthiourea | $C_7H_8N_2S$ |
| 560.0 | 7664-38-2 | Phosphoric Acid | H ₃ O ₄ P |
| 561.0 | 10026-13-8 | Phosphorus Pentachloride | Cl ₅ P |
| 562.0 | 88-89-1 | Picric Acid | $C_6H_3N_3O_7$ |
| 563.0 | 7758-01-2 | Potassium Bromate | BrKO ₃ |
| 564.0 | 625-55-8 | Isopropyl Formate | $C_4H_8O_2$ |
| 565.0 | 109-90-0 | Ethyl Isocyanate | C ₃ H ₅ NO |
| 566.0 | 108-20-3 | Isopropyl Ether | $C_6H_{14}O$ |
| 567.0 | 81-07-2 | Saccharin | C ₇ H ₅ NO ₃ S |
| 568.0 | 7631-89-2 | Sodium Arsenate (mixture mono, di, tri basic salts) | C/12311 C3D |
| 569.0 | 1310-73-2 | Sodium Hydroxide | HNaO |
| 570.0 | 57-24-9 | Strychnine | $C_{21}H_{22}N_2O_2$ |
| 571.0 | 100-21-0 | Terephthalic Acid | $C_{8}H_{6}O_{4}$ |
| 572.0 | 75-65-0 | tert-Butyl Alcohol | C ₄ H ₁₀ O |
| 573.0 | 78-00-2 | Tetraethyllead | C ₈ H ₂₀ Pb |
| 574.0 | 109-99-9 | Tetrahydrofuran | C ₈ H ₂ Or <i>B</i> C ₄ H ₈ O |
| 575.0 | 62-56-6 | Thiourea | C ₄ H ₈ O CH ₄ N ₂ S |
| 576.0 | 13463-67-7 | Titanium Dioxide | O_2Ti |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS # | Description | Molecular Formula |
|-------|-------------|--|---|
| 624.0 | 108-87-2 | Methylcyclohexane | C ₇ H ₁₄ |
| 625.0 | 101-61-1 | Methylenebis(N,N-dimethyl) benzeneamine, 4,4'- | $C_{17}H_{14}$ $C_{17}H_{22}N_2$ |
| 626.0 | 64091-91-4 | Methylnitrosoamino-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone | $C_{10}H_{13}N_3O_2$ |
| 627.0 | 1317-33-5 | Molybdenum Disulfide | MoS_2 |
| 628.0 | 4835-11-4 | N,N'-Dibutylhexamethylenediamine | $C_{14}H_{32}N_2$ |
| 629.0 | 3710-84-7 | N,N-Diethylhydroxylamine | C ₁ 411 ₃₂ 1\ ₂ C ₄ H ₁₁ NO |
| 630.0 | 04062-60-6 | N,N'-Di-tert-butylethylenediamine | $C_{10}H_{24}N_2$ |
| 631.0 | 18328-90-0 | N-Ethyl-2-methylallylamine | $C_{10}^{11}C_{24}^{11}C_{2}$ $C_{6}H_{13}N$ |
| 632.0 | 2424-01-3 | N-Methyldiallylamine | C ₇ H ₁₃ N |
| 633.0 | 924-16-3 | N-Nitrosodibutylamine | $C_8h_{18}N_2O$ |
| 634.0 | 55-18-5 | N-Nitrosodiethylamine | $C_{4}H_{10}N_{2}O$ |
| 635.0 | 10595-95-6 | N-Nitrosomethylethylamine | $C_3H_8N_2O$ |
| 636.0 | 4549-40-0 | N-Nitrosomethylvinylamine | $C_{3}H_{6}N_{2}O$ $C_{3}H_{6}N_{2}O$ |
| 637.0 | 373-02-4 | Nickel(II) Acetate | C ₄ H ₆ NiO ₄ |
| 638.0 | 7718-54-9 | Nickel(II) Chloride | C1 ₂ Ni |
| 639.0 | 13770-89-3 | Nickel(II) Sulfamate | $H_4N_2NiO_6S_2$ |
| 640.0 | 111-84-2 | Nonane | C ₉ H ₂₀ |
| 641.0 | 111-65-9 | Octane | C ₈ H ₁₈ |
| 642.0 | 30525-89-4 | Paraformaldehyde | (CH ₂ O) _x |
| 643.0 | 2570-26-5 | Pentadecylamine | $C_{15}H_{33}N$ |
| 644.0 | 9002-84-0 | Polytetrafluoroethylene | $(C_2F_4)_x$ |
| 645.0 | 877-24-7 | Potassium Acid Phthalate | $C_8H_5KO_4$ |
| 646.0 | 584-08-7 | Potassium Carbonate | CK ₂ O ₃ |
| 647.0 | 13746-66-2 | Potassium Ferricyanide | $C_6FeK_3N_6$ |
| 648.0 | 16923-95-8 | Potassium Fluorozirconate | F_6K_2Zr |
| 649.0 | 13967-50-5 | Potassium Gold(I) Cyanide | C ₂ AuKN ₂ |
| 650.0 | 1310-58-3 | Potassium Hydroxide | HKO |
| 651.0 | 12142-33-5 | Potassium Stannate | K ₂ O₃Sn |
| 652.0 | 107-19-7 | Propargyl Alcohol | C₃H₄O |
| 653.0 | 123-75-1 | Pyrrolidine | C ₄ H ₉ N |
| 654.0 | 35574-23-3 | Pyrrolidium Acetate | C ₆ H ₁₃ NO ₂ |
| 655.0 | 112945-52-5 | Silica (fumed) | O_2Sn |
| 656.0 | 127-09-3 | Sodium Acetate | $C_2H_3O_2Na$ |
| 657.0 | 144-55-8 | Sodium Bicarbonate | CHNaO ₃ |
| 658.0 | 7647-14-5 | Sodium Chloride | CINa |
| 659.0 | 6132-04-3 | Sodium Citrate (dihydrate) | C ₆ H ₅ Na ₃ O ₇ ·2H ₂ O |
| 660.0 | 10588-01-9 | Sodium Dichromate | Cr ₂ Na ₂ O ₇ |
| 661.0 | 13755-29-8 | Sodium Fluoroborate | BF ₄ Na |
| 662.0 | 16721-80-5 | Sodium Hydrosulfide | HNaS |
| 663.0 | 7681-52-9 | Sodium Hypochlorite | ClNaO |
| 664.0 | 7681-53-0 | Sodium Hypophosphite | H ₂ NaO ₂ P |
| 665.0 | 7632-00-0 | Sodium Nitrite | NNaO ₂ |
| 666.0 | 1344-08-7 | Sodium Polysulfide | Na ₂ S _x |
| 667.0 | 16893-85-9 | Sodium Silicofluoride | F ₆ Na ₂ Si |
| 668.0 | 12201-54-6 | Sodium Stannate | Na ₄ O ₄ Sn |
| 669.0 | 7757-82-6 | Sodium Sulfate | Na ₂ O ₄ Si |
| 670.0 | 1313-82-2 | Sodium Sulfide | Na ₂ S |
| 671.0 | 126-33-0 | Sulfolane | $C_4H_8O_2S$ |
| 672.0 | 7704-24-9 | Sulfur | S |
| 673.0 | 14807-96-6 | Talc | $H_2Mg_3O_{12}Si_4$ |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS # | Description | Molecular Formula | ***** |
|-------|------------|--|---|-------|
| 743.0 | 79-20-9 | Methyl Acetate | $C_3H_6O_2$ | |
| 744.0 | 547-63-7 | Methyl Isobutyrate | C ₅ H ₁₀ O ₂ | |
| 745.0 | 623-42-7 | Methyl Butyrate | $C_5H_{10}O_2$ | |
| 746.0 | 590-67-0 | 1-Methylcyclohexanol | C ₇ H ₁₄ O | |
| 747.0 | 90-12-0 | 1-Methylnaphthalene | $C_{11}H_{10}$ | |
| 748.0 | 91-57-6 | 2-Methylnaphthalene | $C_{11}H_{10}$ | |
| 749.0 | 691-38-3 | 4-Methyl-2-pentene | C_6H_{12} | |
| 750.0 | 100-80-1 | m-Methylstyrene | C ₉ H ₁₀ | |
| 751.0 | 622-97-9 | p-Methylstyrene | C ₉ H ₁₀ | |
| 752.0 | 616-44-4 | 3-Methylthiophene | C₅H ₆ S | |
| 753.0 | 88-74-4 | o-Nitroaniline | $C_6H_6N_2O_2$ | |
| 754.0 | 79-24-3 | Nitroethane | $C_2H_5NO_2$ | |
| 755.0 | 111-66-0 | 1-Octene | C ₈ H ₁₆ | |
| 756.0 | 144-62-7 | Oxalic Acid | $C_2H_2O_4$ | |
| 757.0 | 110-85-0 | Piperazine | $C_4H_{10}N_2$ | |
| 758.0 | 10430-90-7 | 1-Piperazinecarboxylic Acid | $C_{5}H_{10}N_{2}O_{2}$ | |
| 759.0 | 2762-32-5 | 2-Piperazinecarboxylic Acid | $C_5H_{10}N_2O_2$ | |
| 760.0 | 7709-80-0 | 1,4-Piperazinedicarboxylic Acid | C ₈ H ₁₅ NO | |
| 761.0 | 142-64-3 | Piperazine Dihydrochloride | $C_4H_{10}N_2\cdot 2ClH$ | |
| 762.0 | 6091-62-9 | Piperazine Dihydrochloride Monohydrate | $C_4H_{10}N_2 \cdot 2ClH \cdot H_2O$ | |
| 763.0 | 57-55-6 | 1,2-Propanediol | C ₃ H ₈ O ₂ | |
| 764.0 | 504-63-2 | 1,3-Propanediol | $C_3H_8O_2$ | |
| 765.0 | 79-09-4 | Propionic Acid | $C_3H_6O_2$ | |
| 766.0 | 106-36-5 | Propyl Propionate | $C_6H_{12}O_2$ | |
| 767.0 | 108-30-5 | Succinic Anhydride | $C_4H_4O_3$ | |
| 768.0 | 586-62-9 | Terpinolene | $C_{10}H_{16}$ | |
| 769.0 | 12408-10-5 | Tetrachlorobenzene (general) | $C_6H_2Cl_4$ | |
| 769.1 | 634-66-2 | 1,2,3,4- Tetrachlorobenzene | $C_6H_2Cl_4$ | |
| 769.2 | 95-94-3 | 1,2,4,5- Tetrachlorobenzene | $C_6H_2Cl_4$ | |
| 769.3 | 634-90-2 | 1,2,3,5- Tetrachlorobenzene | C ₆ H ₂ Cl ₄ | |
| 770.0 | 26914-33-0 | Tetrachlorobiphenyl (general) | $C_{12}H_6Cl_4$ | |
| 771.0 | 811-97-2 | 1,1,1,2- Tetrafluoroethane | $C_2H_2F_4$ | |
| 772.0 | 359-35-3 | 1,1,2,2- Tetrafluoroethane | $C_2H_2F_4$ | |
| 773.0 | 119-64-2 | Tetralin | $C_{10}H_{12}$ | |
| 774.0 | 108-70-3 | 1,3,5- Trichlorobenzene | C ₆ H₃Cl₃ | |
| 775.0 | 25323-68-6 | Trichlorobiphenyl (general) | $C_{12}H_7Cl_3$ | |
| 776.0 | 16747-26-5 | 2,2,4- Trimethylhexane | C_9H_{20} | |
| 777.0 | 16747-28-7 | 2,3,3- Trimethylhexane | C_9H_{20} | |
| 778.0 | 16747-31-2 | 3,3,4- Trimethylhexane | C ₉ H ₂₀ | |
| 779.0 | 106-43-4 | Benzene, 1-chloro-4-methyl | C ₇ H ₇ Cl | |
| 780.0 | 620-14-4 | Benzene, 1-ethyl-3-methyl | C ₉ H ₁₂ | |
| 781.0 | 25619-60-7 | Benzene, tetramethyl- (general) | $C_{10}H_{14}$ | |
| 781.1 | 527-53-7 | 1,2,3,5- Tetramethylbenzene | C ₁₀ H ₁₄ | |
| 781.2 | 488-23-3 | 1,2,3,4- Tetramethylbenzene | $C_{10}H_{14}$ | |
| 782.0 | 98-83-9 | Benzene, (1-methylethenyl)- | C ₉ H ₁₀ | |
| 783.0 | 108-67-8 | Benzene, 1,3,5-trimethyl- | C ₉ H ₁₂ | |
| 784.0 | 99-87-6 | Benzene, 1-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)- | $C_{10}H_{14}$ | |
| 785.0 | 95-93-2 | Benzene, 1,2,4,5-tetramethyl- | $C_{10}H_{14}$ | |
| 786.0 | 526-73-8 | Benzene, 1,2,3,-trimethyl- | C ₉ H ₁₂ | |
| 787.0 | 55880-77-8 | Pentachlor-1,3-butadiene (general) | C₄HCl₅ | |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS# | Description | Molecular Formula |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 851.0 | 110-43-0 | 2-Heptanone | C ₇ H ₁₄ O |
| 852.0 | 611-14-3 | 1-Ethyl-2-methylbenzene | C_9H_{12} |
| 853.0 | 26741-29-7 | 2-Hexadecene | C ₁₆ H ₃₂ |
| 854.0 | 626-93-7 | 2-Hexanol | $C_6H_{14}O$ |
| 855.0 | 75 - 30-9 | 2-Iodopropane | C_3H_7I |
| 856.0 | 763-29-1 | 2-Methyl-1-pentene | C_6H_{12} |
| 857.0 | 591-76-4 | 2-Methylhexane | C_7H_{16} |
| 858.0 | 611-15-4 | 2-Methylstyrene | C_9H_{10} |
| 860.0 | 123-96-6 | 2-Octanol | $C_8H_{18}O$ |
| 861.0 | 6032-29-7 | 2-Pentanol | C ₅ H ₁₂ O |
| 863.0 | 4032-92-2 | 2,4,4-Trimethylheptane | $\mathrm{C}_{10}\mathrm{H}_{22}$ |
| 864.0 | 4032-86-4 | 3,3-Dimethylheptane | C_9H_{20} |
| 865.0 | 562-49 - 2 | 3,3-Dimethylpentane | C_7H_{16} |
| 866.0 | 4038-04-4 | 3-Ethyl-1-pentene | C_7H_{14} |
| 867.0 | 15869-80-4 | 3-Ethylheptane | C ₉ H ₂₀ |
| 868.0 | 619-99-8 | 3-Ethylhexane | C_8H_{18} |
| 869.0 | 617-78-7 | 3-Ethylpentane | C_7H_{16} |
| 870.0 | 589-38-8 | 3-Hexanone | C ₆ H ₁₂ O |
| 871.0 | 96-14-0 | 3-Methylpentane | C_6H_{14} |
| 872.0 | 3404-61-3 | 3-Methyl-1-hexene | C_7H_{14} |
| 873.0 | 760-20-3 | 3-Methyl-1-pentene | C_6H_{12} |
| 874.0 | 7784-13-6 | Aluminum Chloride (6-Hydrate) | AlCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O |
| 875.0 | 584-02-1 | 3-Pentanol | $C_5H_{12}O$ |
| 876.0 | 123-19-3 | 4-Heptanone | $C_7H_{14}O$ |
| 877.0 | 691-38-3 | cis-4-Methyl-2-pentene | C_6H_{12} |
| 878.0 | 691-37-2 | 4-Methyl-1-pentene | C_6H_{12} |
| 879.0 | 3178-29-8 | 4-Propylheptane | $C_{10}H_{22}$ |
| 0.088 | 98-29-3 | 4-tert-Butylcatechol | $C_{10}H_{14}O_2$ |
| 881.0 | 103-84-4 | Acetanilide | C ₈ H ₉ NO |
| 882.0 | 123-54-6 | Acetylacetone | $C_5H_8O_2$ |
| 883.0 | 260-94-6 | Acridine | $C_{13}H_9N$ |
| 884.0 | 7446-70-0 | Aluminum Chloride (Anhydrous) | $AlCl_3$ |
| 885.0 | 21645-51-2 | Aluminum Hydroxide | AlH_3O_3 |
| 886.0 | 145-08-8 | 1-Nonanol | $C_9H_{20}O$ |
| 887.0 | 100-66-3 | Anisole | C_7H_8O |
| 888.0 | 84-65-1 | Anthraquinone | $C_{14}H_8O_2$ |
| 889.0 | 225-11-6 | Benz(a)acridine | $C_{14}H_{11}N$ |
| 890.0 | 100-52-7 | Benzaldehyde | C_7H_6O |
| 891.0 | 207-08-9 | Benzo(k)fluoranthene | $C_{20}H_{12}$ |
| 892.0 | 100-47-0 | Benzonitrile | C_7H_5N |
| 893.0 | 140-11-4 | Benzyl Acetate | $C_9H_{10}O_2$ |
| 894.0 | 100-51-6 | Benzyl Alcohol | C_7H_8O |
| 895.0 | 539-30-0 | Benzyl Ethyl Ether | $C_9H_{12}O$ |
| 896.0 | 104-57-4 | Benzyl Formate | $C_8H_8O_2$ |
| 897.0 | 100-46-9 | Benzylamine | C_7H_9N |
| 899.0 | 75-62-7 | Bromotrichloromethane | CBrCl_3 |
| 900.0 | 75-63-8 | Bromotrifluoromethane | CBrF₃ |
| 901.0 | 111-34-2 | Butyl Vinyl Ether | $C_6H_{12}O$ |
| 902.0 | 109-73-9 | Butylamine | $C_4H_{11}N$ |
| 903.0 | 104-51-8 | Butylbenzene | $C_{10}H_{14}$ |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS# | Description | Molecular Formula |
|----------------|------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 954.0 | 87-82-1 | Hexabromobenzene | C_6Br_6 |
| 955.0 | 544-76-3 | Hexadecane | $C_{16}H_{34}$ |
| 956.0 | 392-56-3 | Hexafluorobenzene | C_6F_6 |
| 957.0 | 142-62-1 | Hexanoic Acid | $C_6H_{12}O_2$ |
| 958.0 | 111-27-3 | Hexyl Alcohol | $C_6H_{14}O$ |
| 959.0 | 288-32-4 | Imidazole | $C_3H_4N_2$ |
| 960.0 | 5888-33-5 | Isobornyl Acrylate | $C_{13}H_{20}O_2$ |
| 961.0 | 78-81-9 | Isobutylamine | $C_{13}\Pi_{20}G_{2}$ $C_{4}H_{11}N$ |
| 962.0 | 538-93-2 | Isobutylbenzene | |
| 963.0 | 79-31-2 | Isobutyric Acid | $C_{10}H_14$ |
| 964.0 | 29590-42-9 | Isooctyl Acrylate | $C_4H_8O_2$ |
| 965.0 | 108-21-4 | Isopropyl Acetate | $C_{11}H_{20}O_2$ |
| 966.0 | 78448-33-6 | Isopropyl Isobutyl Ether | $C_5H_{10}O_2$ |
| 967.0 | 112-53-8 | 1-Dodecanol | $C_7H_{16}O_2$ |
| 968.0 | 7758-97-6 | Lead Chromate | $C_{12}H_{26}O$ |
| 969.0 | 7447-41-8 | Lithium Chloride | CrO₄Pb |
| 970.0 | 546-93-0 | Magnesium Carbonate | CLLi |
| 971.0 | 298-00-0 | Methyl Parathion | $\rm CMgO_3$ |
| 972.0 | 628-28-4 | Butyl Methyl Ether | $C_8H_{10}NO_5PS$ |
| 973.0 | 142-47-2 | Monosodium Glutamate | C ₅ H ₁₂ O |
| 974.0 | 924-42-5 | | C ₅ H ₈ NNaO ₄ |
| 975.0 | 109-74-0 | N-(Hydroxymethyl)acrylamide | C_4H_7NO |
| 975.0 976.0 | 142-92-7 | Butyronitrile | C_4H_7N |
| 970.0 977.0 | 142-92-7 | Hexyl Acetate | $\mathrm{C_8H_{16}O_2}$ |
| 978.0 | | N-Methyldiethanolamine | $C_5H_{13}NO_2$ |
| 979.0 979.0 | 109-60-4 | Propyl Acetate | $C_5H_{10}O_2$ |
| 979.0 980.0 | 88-12-0 | N-Vinyl-2-pyrrolidone | C_6H_9NO |
| 980.0 981.0 | 554-84-7 | 3-Nitrophenol | $C_6H_5NO_3$ |
| 982.0 | 124-19-6 | Nonanal | $C_9H_{18}O$ |
| | 108-82-7 | 2,6-Dimethyl-4-heptanol | $ m C_9H_{20}O$ |
| 983.0 | 279-23-2 | Norbornane | C_7H_{12} |
| 984.0 | 498-66-8 | Norbornene | C_7H_{10} |
| 985.0 | 528-29-0 | o-Dinitrobenzene | $C_6H_4N_2O_4$ |
| 986.0 | 112-96-9 | Octadecycl Isocyanate | $C_{19}H_{37}NO$ |
| 987.0 | 124-13-0 | Octanal | $C_8H_{16}O$ |
| 988.0 | 124-07-2 | Octanoic Acid | $C_8H_{16}O_2$ |
| 989.0 | 538-68-1 | Pentylbenzene | $C_{11}H_{16}$ |
| 990.0 | 122-60-1 | Phenyl Glycidyl Ether | $C_9H_{10}O_2$ |
| 991.0 | 536-74-3 | Phenylacetylene | C_8H_6 |
| 992.0 | 88-99-3 | Phthalic Acid | $C_8H_6O_4$ |
| 993.0 | 7447-40-7 | Potassium Chloride | CIK |
| 994.0 | 111-43-3 | Propyl Ether | $C_6H_{14}O$ |
| 995.0 | 103-65-1 | Propylbenzene | C_9H_{12} |
| 996.0 | 151-21-3 | Sodium Docecyl Sulfate | C ₁₂ H ₂₅ NaO ₄ S |
| 997.0 | 7631-99-4 | Sodium Nitrate | NNaO ₃ |
| 998.0 | 1313-60-6 | Sodium Peroxide | Na_2O_2 |
| 999.0 | 7772-99-8 | Stannous Chloride | Cl ₂ Sn |
| 1000.0 | 57-11-4 | Stearic Acid | $C_{18}H_{36}O_{2}$ |
| 1001.0 | 121-57-3 | Sulfanilic Acid | C ₆ H ₇ NO ₃ S |
| 1002.0 | 540-88-5 | tert-Butyl Acetate | $C_6H_{12}O_2$ |

Table 3: Chemical List (cont.)

| C# | CAS# | Description | Molecular Formula |
|------------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1053.0 | 629-20-9 | 1,3,5,7-cyclooctatetraene | C ₈ H ₁₈ |
| 1054.0 | 1191-99-7 | 2,3-dihydrofuran | C ₄ H ₆ O |
| 1055.0 | 149-57-5 | 2-ethylhexanoic acid | $C_8H_{16}O_2$ |
| 1056.0 | 3825-26-1 | Ammonium pentadecafluorooctanote | $C_8F_{15}O_2H_4N$ |
| 1057.0 | 12135-76-1 | Ammonium sulfide | H_8N_2S |
| 1058.0 | 10294-33-4 | Boron tribromide | BBr_3 |
| 1059.0 | 1317-39-1 | Copper (I) oxide | Cu ₂ O |
| 1060.0 | 1317-38-0 | Copper (II) oxide | CuO |
| 1061.0 | 7758-98-7 | Copper sulfate | CuO ₄ S |
| 1062.0 | 120-61-6 | Dimethyl terephthalate | |
| | 37143-54-7 | Methoxyisopropylamine | $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ |
| 1064.0 | 115-25-3 | Octafluorocyclobutane | C ₄ H ₁₁ NO |
| 1065.0 | 78-40-0 | Triethylphosphate | C ₄ F ₈ |
| 1065.0 | 512-56-1 | Trimethylphosphate Trimethylphosphate | C ₆ H ₁₅ O ₄ P |
| 1067.0 | 121-45-9 | | C₃H ₉ O₄P |
| 1068.0 | 99-96-7 | Trimethylphosphite | $C_3H_9O_3P$ |
| | 491-35-0 | 4-Hydroxybenzoic Acid | $C_7H_6O_3$ |
| 1069.0 1070.0 | | 4-Methylquinoline | $C_{10}H_9N$ |
| | 55079-83-9 | Acitretin | $C_{21}H_{26}O_3$ |
| 1071.0 | 7647-17-8 | Cesium Chloride | CsCl |
| 1072.0 | 929-06-6 | Diglycolamine | $C_4H_{11}NO_2$ |
| 1073.0 | 108-18-9 | Diisopropyl Amine | $C_6H_{15}N$ |
| 1074.0 | 593-74-8 | Dimethyl Mercury | C_2H_6Hg |
| 1075.0 | 999-97-3 | Hexamethyldisilazane | $C_6H_{19}NSi_2$ |
| 1076.0 | 66-25-1 | Hexanal | $C_6H_{12}O$ |
| 1077.0 | 133-32-4 | Indole-3-Butyric Acid | $C_{12}H_{13}NO_2$ |
| 1078.0 | 108-11-2 | Methyl Isobutyl Carbinol | $C_6H_{14}O$ |
| 1079.0 | 3268-87-9 | Octachlorodibenxo-p-Dioxin | $C_{12}Cl_8O_2$ |
| 1080.0 | 2234-13-1 | Octachloronapthalene | $\mathrm{C}_{10}\mathrm{Cl}_{8}$ |
| 1081.0 | 40487-42-1 | Pendimethalin | $C_{13}H_{19}N_3O_4$ |
| 1082.0 | 110-62-3 | Pentanal | $C_5H_{10}O$ |
| 1083.0 | 57465-28-8 | 3,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl | $C_{12}H_5Cl_5$ |
| 1084.0 | 103426-96-6 | 1,2,3,4,6,7-Hexachloronapthalene (PCN-66) | |
| 1085.0 | 108-45-2 | m-phenylenediamine (1,3-phenylenediamine) | $C_6H_8N_2$ |
| 1086.0 | 8007-18-9 | C.I. Pigment Yellow 53 | |
| 1087.0 | 77-58 - 7 | Dibutyl tin dilaurate | $C_{32}H_{64}O_4Sn$ |
| 1088.0 | 1672-46-4 | Digoxigenin | $C_{23}H_{34}O_5$ |
| 1089.0 | 64742-95-6 | Light aromatic naphtha | |
| 1090.0 | 32588-76-4 | N,N'-ethylenebis(tetrabromophthalimide) | $C_{18}H_4Br_8N_2O_4$ |
| 1091.0 | 497-25-6 | Oxazolidone | $C_3H_5NO_2$ |
| 1092.0 | 2451-62-9 | Tris(2,3-epoxypropyl) isocyanurate | $C_{12}H_{15}N_3O_6$ |
| 1093.0 | 539-82-2 | Ethyl-valerate | $C_7H_{14}O_2$ |
| 1094.0 | 132259-10-0 | Air | · -· - |
| 1095.0 | 105-08-8 | 1,4-Cyclohexanedimethanol | $C_8H_{16}O_2$ |
| 1096.0 | 13556-58-6 | 1-Ethyltetralin | $C_{12}H_{16}$ |
| 1097.0 | 1559-81-5 | 1-Methyltetralin | $C_{11}H_{14}$ |
| 1098.0 | 1679-09-0 | 2-Methyl-2-butanethiol | C ₅ H ₁₂ S |
| 1099.0 | 6846-50-0 | 2,2,4-Trimethyl-1,3-Pentanediol Diisobutyrate | $C_{16}H_{30}O_4$ |
| 1100.0 | | 2,4,5-Tricarboxybenzophenone | $C_{16}H_{10}O_{7}$ |
| 1101.0 | | 2,4,5-Tricarboxybiphenyl | $C_{15}H_{10}O_6$ |

Table 4: Principal Literature Sources

BLOCK 1 - Oxygen Demand

Cooper, W.J., Chemistry in Water Reuse, Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, MI, 1981

Howard, P.H., R.S. Boethling, W.F. Jarvis, W.M. Meylan, and E.M. Michalenko, *Handbook of Environmental Degradation Rates*, Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI 1991

Janicke, W., Chemishe Oxidierbarkeit organischer Wasserinhaltsstoffe, Berichte Institut für Wasser-, Boden-und Lufthygiene des Bundesgesundheitsamtes, Detrich Reimer Verlag, Berlin, 1983

Jenkins, D., V.L. Snoeyink, J.F. Ferguson, and J.O. Leckie, Water Chemistry Laboratory Manual 3rd Edition, Wiley, New York, 1980

Lund, H.F., Industrial Pollution Control Handbook, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971

Metcalf & Eddy, Inc., Wastewater Engineering, 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979

Pitter, P. and J. Chudoba, Biodegradability of Organic Substances in the Aquateic Environment, CRC, Boca, Raton, FL, 1990

Verschueren, K., Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Compounds, 3rd Edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1996

JOURNAL

Acta Hydrochimica Hydrobiologica
American Dyestuff Reporter
American Public Health Association (APHA)
Applied Microbiology
Annual Book of ASTM Standards
Chemosphere
Industrial and Engineering Chemistry
Industrial Pollution Control Handbook
Journal of Industrial Microbiology
Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation
Plant Engineering Handbook
Proceedings of the Purdue Industrial Waste Conference
Proceedings of the American Association of Textile

Chemists and Colorests
Sewage and Industrial Wastes
Vodosnabzhenie i Sanitarnaya Tekhnika

Vom Wasser Water Research

ABBREVIATION

Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol.
Amer. Dyestuff Reporter
Am. Public Health Assoc. Yearb.
Appl. Microbiol.
Ann. Book ASTM Stand.
(same)
Ind. Eng. Chem.
(same)
J. Ind. Microbiol.
J. Water Poll. Cont. Fed.

Proc. Amer. Assoc. Text. Chem & Colorests Sew. Ind. Wastes Vodosnabzh. Sanit. Tekh.

Water Res.

Table 4: Principal Literature Sources (cont.)

BLOCK 2 - Soil/Water Partitioning (cont.)

Walters, R.W., S.A. Ostazeski, A. Guiseppi-Elie, "Sorption of 2,3,7,8-Trichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin from Water by Surface Soils." *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 23, 480, 1989

Ware, G.W., Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, Springer, New York, 1992

JOURNAL

American Society of Agronomy
Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan
Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety
Environmental Health Perspectives
Environmental Science and Technology
Hazardous Waste and Hazardous Materials
Illinois Institute of Natural Resources, St. Geological Survey
Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry
Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data
Journal of Environmental Quality
Journal of Hazardous Materials
Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology
Soil Science
Water Research

ABBREVIATION

Am. Soc. Agron., Sp. Publ.
Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.
Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.
Environ. Health Perspect.
Environ. Sci. Technol.
Hazard. Waste Hazard. Mater.
Bull.-Ill. State Water Surv.
J. Agric. Food Chem.
J. Chem. Eng. Data
J. Environ. Qual.
J. Hazard. Mater.
Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.
Soil Sci.
Water Res.

Table 4: Principal Literature Sources (cont.)

BLOCK 3 - General Physical Properties (cont.)

Yaws, C.L., *Handbook of Thermal Conductivity, Vol. 1-3*, Gulf Publishing, Houston, 1995 Yaws, C.L., *Thermodynamic & Physical Property Data*, Gulf Publishing, Houston, 1992

JOURNALS

AIChE Journal

Analytica Chimica Acta Analytical Chemistry Angewante Chemie

Canadian Journal of Chemistry

Chemical Abstracts Chemical Engineering Chemical Reviews Chemosphere

Environmental and Toxicological Chemistry Environmental Science and Technology

Fluid Phase Equilibria

Environmental Contamination and Toxicology Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research

J. Chemical Society of Japan (Nippon Kagaku Kaishi)

J. of Applied Polymer Science J. of Applied Toxicology

J. of Chemical and Engineering Data J. of Chemical Engineering of Japan

J. of Chemical Information and Computer Science

J. of Chemical Physics

J. of Chemical Thermodynamics
J. of Environmental Engineering
J. of Hazardous Materials

J. of Pharmaceutical Science

J. of Physical Chemistry

J. of Research of the National Bureau of Standards

J. of Solution Chemistry

J. of the American Chemical Society
J. of the Chemical Society (London)
J. Physical and Chemical Reference Data

Makromolecular Chemie

Materials Chemistry and Physics

Mobay Technical Data Sheet for 2,4-Toluene Diisocyanate

SAR and OSAR in Environmental Research

Science

Thermochimica Acta Water Research

ABBREVIATION

AIChE J.

Anal. Chim. Acta Anal. Chem. Angew. Chem. Can. J. Chem. Chem. Abstr. Chem. Eng. Chem. Rev. (same)

Environ. Toxicol. Chem.
Environ. Sci. Technol.
Fluid Phase Equilib.
Environ. Contam. Toxicol.
Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.
J. Chem. Soc. Jpn.
J. Appl. Polym. Sci.
J. Appl. Toxicol.
J. Chem. Eng. Data

J. Chem. Eng. Data
J. Chem. Eng. Jpn.

J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.

J. Chem. Phys.
J. Chem. Thermodyn.
J. Environ. Eng.
J. Hazard. Mater.

J. Pharm. Sci. J. Phys. Chem.

J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand.
J. Solution Chem.
J. Am. Chem. Soc.
J. Chem. Soc.

J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data Makromol. Chem. Mater. Chem. Phys.

SAR QSAR Environ. Res.

Sci.

Thermochim, Acta

Water Res.

Table 4: Principal Literature Sources (cont.)

BLOCK 5-Fire & Explosion

Aldrich Chemical Co., Catalog/Handbook of Fine Chemicals, Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, WI, 1992-2000 Baratov, A.N., A.Ya. Korol'chenko, G.I. Kravchukh, et al., The Handbook for Flammability and Explosability of Chemicals and Substances, and Means of Extinguishing, Khimia, Moscow, 1990

Bond, J., Sources of Ignition-Flammability Characteristics of Chemicals and Products, Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston (1991)

Braker, W., A.L. Mossman, *Matheson Gas Data Book*, 5th edition, Matheson Gas Products, E. Rutherford, NJ, 1971

Bretherick, L., Bretherick's Handbook of Reactive Chemical Hazards, 4th Edition, Butterworths, New York, 1990 Chatrathi, K., Report on Flammability Testing for Hoechst Celanese, 1991

Coward, H.F., and G.W. Jones, *Limits of Flammability of Gases and Vapors*, U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 503, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1952

Fire Protection Guide on Hazardous Materials, National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 1984 Hazard Evaluation and Risk Control Services, Hercules Inc., Data Guide, 1991

Lide, D.R., Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 71st Edition, CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1990

Kuchta, J.M., Investigation of Fire and Explosion Accidents in the Chemical, Mining, and Fuel-Related Industries-A
Manual, U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 680, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1985

Manufacturing Chemists' Association, Inc., Chemical Safety Data Sheets, Washington, DC, 1985-

Nabert, K., and G. Schön, Sicherheitstechnische Kennzahlen brennbarer Gas und Dämpfe, Deutscher Eichuerlag Gmbtl., Berlin, 1963

Perry, J.H., and D. Green, Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook, 6th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1984 Sax, N.I. Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 7th Edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1989 Stephenson, R.M., Flash Points of Organic and Organometallic Compounds, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1987 Shell Chemical Co., Methyl Ethyl Ketone

Shell Chemical Co., Methyl Isobutyl Ketone

Stull, D.R., Fundamentals of Fire and Explosion, AIChE Monograph Series, No. 73, AIChE, New York, 1977 Zabetakis, M.G., Flammability Characteristics of Combustible Gases and Vapors, U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 627, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1965

Zabetakis, M.G., J.C. Cooper, and A.L. Furno, Flammability in Air of Solvent Mixures Containing Methyl Ethyl Ketone and Tetrahydrofuran, U.S. Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 6048, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1962

Zabetakis, M.G., G.S. Scott, and G.W. Jones, The Flammability Characteristics of the C_nH_{2n-6} Aromatic Series, U.S. Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 4824, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1951

JOURNAL

Soviet Chemical Industry

Chemical Engineering
Chemical Reviews
Chemicals and Products
Fire and Materials
Industrial and Engineering Chemistry (Research)
J. Chemical Engineering Data
J. of Hazardous Materials
J. of Research of the National Bureau of Standards

ABBREVIATION

Chem. Eng.
Chem. Rev.
Chem. Prod.
Fire Mater.
Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.
J. Chem. Eng. Data
J. Hazard. Mater.
J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand.
Sov. Chem. Ind.

Table 5: BOD Rating Criteria

PAPER INFORMATION

| Title | | |
|---|------------|--|
| | | |
| Author(s) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Comments | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Rating Crit | eria | |
| | | |
| Followed Standard Methods (temperature not included) | | |
| Yes | (2) | |
| No or cannot tell from information provided | (0) | |
| Temperature Reported? | | |
| Yes | (2) | |
| No | (0) | |
| Acclimation | | |
| Acclimated seed was used (3) Non-Acclimated seed was used | (1) | |
| Acclimation not reported | (1) (0) | |
| Concentration Reported? | | |
| Yes | (1) | |
| No | (0) | |
| Internal Consistency | | |
| Internal Consistency Compared results to ThoD (2) | | |
| No comparison to ThoD | (1) | |
| TOTAL | | |

Table 7: Some Reliable References and Criteria for Soil/Water Partitioning

| Reference | Equil time | Sterilization | Water source | # of soils | pН | OC% | Method |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------|------|-------------|--------|
| ASTM, 1991 | ³ 48 hours ¹ | Mass balance ² | Source or Reagent Grade ³ | 34 | 6 | - | BP1 |
| Barber, 1992 | 48 hours | None | NS | 4 | NS | 0.8-5.7 | BP2 |
| Lee, 1991 | NA CS | MW radiation | NS | 1 | NS | 0.39 | MD |
| Ball, 1991 | 9-100 days | Autoclaved | NS | 8 | NS | 0.015-0.099 | BP2 |
| Boyd, 1982 | 24 hours ⁴ | Anaerobic | NS | 1 | NS | 5.10(OM) | BP3 |
| Schellenberg, 1984 | 15-20 hours ⁴ | None | NS | 3 | NS | 0.84-9.4 | BP2 |
| Brown, 1981 | 24-72 hours ⁴ | None | NS | 1 | NS | 3.27 | BP1 |
| Chin, 1988 | 120 hours ⁴ | None | NS⁵ | 2 | NS | 0.13-1.42 | BP4 |
| Russell, 1986 | 25 hours | sodium azide | NS | 2 | NS | 0.26-1.59 | BP2 |
| Walters, 1989 | 2 days | sodium azide | NS⁵ | 1 | 7 | 0.66 | BP3 |
| Hassett, 1980 | 20-24 hours | None ⁶ | NS. | 14 | NS | 0.11-2.38 | BP2 |
| Karickhoff, 1979 | 24-48 hours ⁴ | None | NS ⁵ | 3 | NS | 0.086-3.29 | BP2 |
| Abdul, 1987 | 24 hours ⁴ | None | Milli-Q | 3 | NS | 0.04-2.2 | BP2 |
| Rippen, 1982 | 24 hours ⁴ | None | NS | 3 | NS · | 0.69-2.24 | BP2 |
| Garbini, 1985 | ~24 hours | None | NS | 2 | NS | 0.23-0.41 | BP5 |

Abbreviations: NS=Not stated in article, BP1=Bottle point with varying sorbent amount, BP2=Bottle point with more than one sorbent of varying organic carbon concentration, BP3=Bottle point with varying chemical concentration in solution, BP4=Bottle point with one soil and one point, BP5=Bottle point with different sorbents of varying sorbent organic carbon concentration where the gas phase was sampled, NA=Not applicable, CS=Column study, GP=Gas purge, MD=Miscible displacement, MW=Microwave

Footnotes: 1=Preliminary tests should be done to determine appropriate equilibrium time, 2=Used to determine if degradation has occurred, 3=Source water should be treated to remove particulate matter, 4=Equilibrium was confirmed with kinetic studies or by sequential sampling, 5=Particulate matter removed by washing, 6=Byproducts of degradation were looked for in the form of unidentified peaks in the gas chromatograph recording.

References:

Abdul, A.S.; T.L. Gibson, and D.N. Tai, Hazard. Waste Hazard. Mater. 4, 211 (1987)

ASTM, Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol. 11.04, Pesticides; Resource Recovery; Hazardous Substances and Oil Spill Responses; Waste Disposal; Biological Effects, ASTM, Philadelphia, 1991

Ball, W.P. and P.V. Roberts, Environ. Sci. Technol. 25, 1223 (1991)

Barber, L.B., II, E.M. Thurman, and D.D. Runnells, J. Contamin. Hydrology, 9, 35 (1992)

Boyd, S.A., Soil Sci. 134, 337 (1982)

Brown, D.S. and E.W. Flagg, J. Environ. Qual. 10, 382 (1981)

Chin, Y.P; C.S. Peven, and W.J. Weber, Jr., Water Res. 22, 873 (1988)

Garbini, D.R. and L.W. Lion, Environ. Sci. Technol. 19, 1122 (1985)

Hassett, J.P. and M.A. Anderson, Water Res. 16, 681 (1982)

Karickhoff, S.W.; D.S. Brown, and T.A. Scott, Water Res. 13, 241 (1979).

Lee, L.S., P.S.C. Rao, and M.L. Brusseau, Environ. Sci. Technol. 25, 722 (1991)

Rippen, G.M., W. Ilgestein, W. Klopffer, and H.J. Poremski, Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 6, 236 (1982)

Russell, D.J. and B. McDuffe, Chemosphere, 15, 1003 (1986)

Walters, R.W., S.A. Ostazewski, and A. Guiseppi, Environ. Sci. Technol. 23 480 (1989)

Table 9: Evaluation Criteria for Block 3

Score

| The state of the s | | Deore | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| Criteria | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Experimental Conditions | Not stated | Stated without range | Stated with range |
| Purity of Chemicals | Not stated | Stated with no range or as received with range | Stated with range, purified, or calculated |
| Experimental Technique | Not stated or Not acceptable | Stated briefly | Described in detail |
| Reported Accuracy and/or Internal Precision- QA/QC Procedures | Not stated or >20% | Stated with 5-20% | Stated with 1-5% |
| Qualitative Agreement with Other Work | Does not agree | Agrees | Agrees well with other work |

Table 10: Evaluation Criteria for Block 6

* Essential Information

Score

| Criteria | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|--|
| Experimental Conditions Temperature and DT* Animal Loading* Volume Additions* Water Chemistry* Water Source* Photo-period Food before experiment | Essentials not all reported or secondary source. | Reported as given elsewhere (other source); standard techniques named but few essentials reported; non-standard technique with all conditions reported. | Standard technique with all essentials listed. |
| Purity of Chemicals Source of chemical* Verification of experimental conc.* Purity of chemical from source reported | Not stated or secondary source. | Source listed or concentration verified. | Sources reported and concentrations verified. |
| Experimental Technique (Standard) technique reported or described* Number of replicates reported* Random assignment* Age, size, and supplier of organism rptd* Concentration of chemical verified throughout experiment (24 intervals)* Control used when chemical is dissolved in solvent. | Not reported or standard method without details or secondary source. | Standard method listed with incomplete report of essentials; non-standard technique with full report of essentials. | Standard method with full report. |
| Accuracy/Precision Statistical tests named & appropriate* No pseudo-replication* Confidence limits reported* | 1 significant figure with 20% confidence or secondary source. | 2 significant figures and 5-20% confidence with essentials. | 2 significant figures and 1-5% confidence with essentials. |
| Agreement with others | | Agrees with own work. | Agrees with other's work or reports comparisons. |

Table 12: Results of SQC Review

| Physical Property | Number of Values Evaluated | Number and Type of Error AF / DE / AD | Level 1 Tolerance Value | Quality Code |
|---|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Molecular Weight | 5669 | 5/16/1 | <u>+</u> 5% | Q1 |
| Melting Point | 3891 | 88 / 20 / 5 | ± 10% | Q1 |
| Normal Boiling Point | 5628 | 20 / 34 / 10 | <u>±</u> 10% | Q1 |
| Diffusivity in Air | 1282 | 8/1/0 | ± 30% | Q1 |
| Diffusivity in Water | 1141 | 63 / 4 / 1 | <u>+</u> 30% | Q1 |
| Heat of Formation | 1510 | 173/50/21 | <u>+</u> 20% | Q1 |
| Critical Temperature | 3469 | 22 / 5 / 0 | ± 10% | Q1 |
| Flash Point | 3256 | 73 / 121/ 25 | <u>+</u> 10% | Q1 |
| Heat of Combustion | 1285 | 76 / 10 / 9 | ± 10% | Q1 |
| TOTAL | 27,131 | 508 / 261 / 72 | | |
| . The | | AF2/DE/AD | | |
| Melting Point less than Normal Boiling Point | 3635 | 56 / 7 / 1 | | Q2 |

Anomaly Flagged, Level 1; value is correct as transcribed from literature source AF: Anomaly Flagged, Level 2; value is correct as transcribed from literature source

AF2:

Data Entry Error DE:

Anomaly, Rating Dropped; highest rated value is transcribed correctly from literature source, but does not AD: agree with multiple other literature values.

Table 14: Structure of Citation Table

| Field Name | Purpose | Field Type and Size |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Paper# | Data Source Number | N* |
| Title | Title | A120 |
| Author | Author | A120 |
| Journal | Journal | A120 |
| Date | Date of Publication | A15 |
| Volume | Volume | A15 |
| Number | Journal Number | A15 |
| Pages | Page Number | A15 |
| Pcode1 | Property code for tracking | A3 |
| Pcode2 | Property code for tracking | A3 |
| Pcode3 | Property code for tracking | A3 |
| Pcode4 | Property code for tracking | A3 |
| Pcode5 | Property code for tracking | A3 |
| Pcode6 | Property code for tracking | A3 |
| Date Assigned | Date for tracking | D |
| Comments | | |

* Key Field

Table 15: Structure of Property Table

| Field Name | Purpose | Field Type and Size |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Property Code | Property Code | A3 |
| Description | Property Name | A45 |
| Reviewer | Technical Reviewer | A30 |
| Default Units | Default Units for the Property | A11 |
| T. Dep. | Temperature Dependent? | A1 |
| Comment | | |

Table 18: Project 912 Estimation Methods Included in Project ESP Software Product

| PPOPEDTY (CODE) | ECTIMATION TECTIMOTE | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| PROPERTY (CODE) | ESTIMATION TECHNIQUE | | | |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (1a) | None | | | |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) (1b) | COD = ThOD for 14 chemical classes. | | | |
| Theoretical Oxygen Demand, Carbonaceous | Baker (1994; corrected, 1999) | | | |
| (ThOD) (1cc) | Baker (1994) | | | |
| Theoretical Oxygen Demand, Combined (ThOD) (1cn) | Baker (1994) | | | |
| Octanol Water Partitioning (Log Kow) (2a) | UNIFAC (Fredenslund, 1975) with choice of interaction | | | |
| | parameter set | | | |
| | ENV- Environmental Parameter Set (Rogers, 1994) GBL- Global (Rogers, 1994) | | | |
| | VLE- Vapor Liquid Parameter Set (Hansen, 1991) | | | |
| | LLE- Liquid Liquid Parameter Set (Gemhling, 1982) | | | |
| | Kenga-Goring (1980) | | | |
| | Hansch (1968) | | | |
| Organic Carbon Water Partitioning Log(K _{oc}) (2c) | Baker et al. (1997) | | | |
| Bioconcentration Factor (2d) | Kobayashi (1981) | | | |
| | Kenaga and Goring (1980) | | | |
| Molecular Weight (3a) | Estimate from addition of UNIFAC chemical fragment groups, if necessary | | | |
| Liquid Density (3b) | Bhirud (Bhirud, 1978) | | | |
| Careline C | Modified Racket equation (Spencer, 1972), using critical | | | |
| | properties. | | | |
| Solubility in Water (3c) | Yalkowsky and Mishra (1990) | | | |
| | UNIFAC (See above) | | | |
| Solubility of Water in Chemical | UNIFAC (See above) | | | |
| Melting Point (3d) | Taft and Starek (1930: Horvath, 1992) | | | |
| Molecular Diffusivity in Air (3h) | Lorenz and Hertz (1922: Horvath 1992) | | | |
| Molecular Diffusivity in Water (3i) | Wilke-Lee (1955) Hayduk and Minhas (1982). | | | |
| Molecular Diffusivity in Water (31) | Hayduk and Laudie (1974). | | | |
| | Polson (1950) | | | |
| | Wilkie and Chang (1955). | | | |
| Surface Tension (31) | Brock and Bird (Danner, 1983) | | | |
| Heat of Vaporization at 25° (3t) | Watson (1931; Reid, et al., 1986) | | | |
| Heat of Vaporization at NBP | Klein (1949: Lyman, 1982) | | | |
| | Chen (1965) | | | |
| | Watson (1931; Reid, et al., 1986) | | | |
| Activity Coeff. Of Water in Chemical (4b) | UNIFAC (See above) | | | |
| Henry's Law Constant (4c) | UNIFAC (SEE ABOVE) | | | |
| Upper and Lower Flammability Limit in Air | MTU Group Contribution Method (Pintar, 1999) | | | |
| (5a and 5b) | MTU Combustion Reaction Method (Pintar, 1999) | | | |
| | Penn State Group Contribution Method (Danner, 1986) | | | |

Figure 1: DIPPR® ESP Tracking Form

DIPPR TRACKING FORM

| 911 PROPERTY CODE: | S RE | VIEWER | DAT | Ē | 911 PAPER # |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| AQUIRE PAPER # | 801 PAPER # | TEM DEDE | | | INITIALS |
| CITATION | | TEMP DEPE | NDEN I | 912 METHOL | DS |
| AUTHOR: | | | | | |
| TITLE: | | | | | |
| JOURNAL: | | | | | , |
| VOLUME: | | NUMBER: | | | |
| PAGES: | I | DATE: | | | |
| EVALUATION (SC | ORE: 0-1-2) | | | 801 CO | DE |
| BLOCK: | # | | | BLOCK | METHOD |
| 1. Experimental Conditi | ons | | | | |
| 2. Purity of Chemicals | | | | | |
| 3. Experimental Technic | que | | CC | MMENTS/KEY | WORDS |
| Reported Accuracy as Precision - QA/QC Pr | | | | | • |
| Agreement with othe (Qualitative) | r work | | | | • . |
| TOTAL SCORE | | | ŀ | | |
| DATA ENTRY INFO | RMATION | | | | |
| DATE PROP | CODE(S) # (| OF DATA PTS | INITIAL | S COMMEN | NTS |
| | | | | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Figure 3: Data Entry Form

| Article # | -1 |
|-----------|----|
| Rating | |

| C # | P. Code | Value | Units | Temp. | Press. | Comment |
|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ļ | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | · . | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | . , | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | |

Conversions to mg/L from g/m³, no change from g/L, multiply by 1000 from ppm (parts per million), no change from ppb (parts per billion), divide by 1000

from mol/L, multiply by molecular weight and by 1000 from mmol/L, multiply by molecular weight from German dH $^{\circ}$ hardness to mg/L CaCO $_{3}$, divide by .056

Salmonidacea (salmon and trout family)

Scientific name
Salmo salar
Salmo trutta
Salmo trutta
Salmo trutta lacustris
Salmo trutta

Salvelinus alpinus Arctic char (or charr)

Salvelinus fontinalis Brook trout
Salvelinus leucomaenis White spotted char (or charr)

Salvelinus malma
Dolly varden
Salvelinus namaycush
Lake trout
Siscowet

Salvelinus sp.***

Concorhynchus aguabonita

Oncorhynchus clarki

Coutthroat trout

Concorhynchus gilae

Concorhynchus gorbuscha

Concorhynchus gorbuscha

Concorhynchus keta

Chum salmon

Oncorhynchus kisutch Coho salmon Silver salmon

Oncorhynchus masou Cherry salmon Yamame trout

Oncorhynchus mykiss Rainbow trout

or Salmo Gairdneri Donaldson trout
Oncorhynchus nerka Sockeye salmon
Oncorhynchus tshawytscha Chinook salmon

*** sp. = species, any species of the given genus.

Mysidacea (Opossum shrimp order)

scientific name
Mysidopsis almyra
Mysidopsis bahia
Mysidopsis bigelowi
Mysis relicta
Neomysis americana
Neomysis awatschensis
Neomysis integer
Neomysis sp.***
Leptomysis lingvura

Leptomysis mediterranea